arXiv:1204.3714v2 [hep-th] 29 Nov 2012

Lectures on AKSZ Topological Field Theories for Physicists

Noriaki IKEDA*

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Ritsumeikan University
Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan

March 2, 2013

Abstract

This lecture provides an introductory review about topological field theories (TFT) called AKSZ type. The AKSZ construction is mathematical formulation to unify a large class of TFTs, inspired by the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism of gauge theories. As an example, we start a simple topological field theory in two dimensions and explain ideas of the AKSZ construction. The construction in the example is generalized and led to a mathematical formulation of a general TFT called the AKSZ construction. Typical examples of AKSZ TFTs are listed including known and new TFTs. Mathematical ingredients for analysis of general gauge structures, algebroids, supergeometry, etc. are introduced. The quantization of the Poisson sigma model is explained as an example of quantizations.

---

*E-mail: nikeda@se.ritsumei.ac.jp, ikeda@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Contents

1 **Introduction** **2**

2 **Topological Field Theory in Two Dimensions** **4**
  2.1 Abelian BF Theory in Two Dimensions . . . 4
  2.2 Deformation of Abelian BF Theory in Two Dimensions . . . 7
  2.3 The Poisson Sigma Model . . . 10
  2.4 Superfield Formalism . . . 13

3 **Abelian BF Theories for i-Forms Gauge Fields in Higher Dimensions** **15**
  3.1 Action . . . 15
  3.2 Batalin-Vilkovisky Formalism . . . 16
  3.3 Superfield Formalism . . . 17

4 **QP Manifold** **19**
  4.1 Definition . . . 19
  4.2 Notation and Examples . . . 20
    4.2.1 $n = 1$ . . . 21
    4.2.2 $n = 2$ . . . 23
    4.2.3 $n \geq 3$ . . . 26

5 **AKSZ Construction of Topological Field Theory** **29**

6 **Deformation Theory** **32**

7 **Local Coordinate Expression** **34**

8 **Examples** **37**

9 **AKSZ Theory with Boundary** **42**
  9.1 $n = 2$: WZ-Poisson Sigma Model . . . 42
  9.2 General Structure of AKSZ Theory with Boundary . . . 46
  9.3 Canonical Transformation . . . 46
  9.4 From Canonical Functions to Boundary Terms . . . 47

10 Topological String from AKSZ Sigma Model **48**
10.1 A Model 48
10.2 B Model 49

11 Quantization **50**
11.1 Poisson Sigma Model on Disc 50
11.1.1 Deformation Quantization 50
11.1.2 Path Integral 51
11.1.3 BV Quantization 52
11.1.4 Boundary Conditions 54
11.1.5 Propagator 55
11.1.6 Vertex 55
11.1.7 Renormalization of Tadpoles 56
11.1.8 Correlation Function 56
11.1.9 Associativity 57
11.2 Formality 59
11.2.1 Differential Graded Lie Algebra 59
11.2.2 Maurer-Cartan Equation 59
11.2.3 Hochschild Complex 59
11.2.4 Space of Polyvector Fields 61
11.2.5 L_∞-Algebra and L_∞-Morphism 61
11.2.6 Correspondence to $n = 1$ AKSZ Sigma Model 63

12 Comments and Future Outlook **64**

1 Introduction

A topological field theory has been proposed in the papers [127][128] as a special version of quantum field theories. Besides the mathematical definition [4], this theory has been reformulated by the BRST and Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) formalism [11][111] in a gauge theory. The **AKSZ construction** [3][27] is a mathematical construction of a TFT based on the BV formalism.

A large class of TFTs are reconstructed and unified by the AKSZ construction. They contain known TFTs such as A model, B model, a BF theory, the Chern-Simons theory, the Rozansky-Witten theory, the Poisson sigma model, the topological Yang-Mills theory, and TFTs of Schwarz type [111], etc. Moreover, a new class of TFTs have been found by the AKSZ construction, which has a structure of Lie algebroids, homotopy Lie algebras and their generalizations.

We have several reviews about mathematical aspects so far [83][34][101]. This lecture discusses physical constructions and analyses of AKSZ TFTs. Mathematical rigorousness is sometimes spoiled for simplicity.

First, we consider an abelian BF theory in two dimensions as a simplest example of TFTs and formulate this theory by the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism. Next, most general consistent interaction terms satisfying gauge symmetries are constructed by deformation theory of gauge theories. As a result, an important nontrivial TFT of AKSZ type in two dimensions, the Poisson sigma model, is obtained. We also consider the BV formalism of an abelian BF theory in higher dimensions. From these analysis, we find ingredients in the AKSZ construction and a frame of mathematical formulation of TFTs.

The mathematical basis for the AKSZ construction is a **QP manifold**, which is a triple including a graded manifold, a graded Poisson structure and a coboundary operator. Lie algebroids on a cotangent bundle T^*M and their variations are naturally introduced as typical nontrivial QP manifolds, in order to discuss gauge symmetry structures of AKSZ TFTs.

The AKSZ construction is mathematically defined as a sigma model from two graded manifolds $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. Deformation theory of abelian BF theories in higher dimensions introduces nontrivial interaction terms and derives important classes of AKSZ TFTs. Their gauge symmetry structures generally are Lie algebroids and their generalizations, which are infinitesimal versions of groupoids. We explain concrete examples by local coordinate expressions.

Two important applications of AKSZ TFTs are explained. One is the derivations of topological strings. A model and B model are derived by the gauge fixing of AKSZ TFTs in two dimension [3]. Another application is the construction of a deformation quantization on a Poisson manifold. Quantization of the Poisson sigma model on disc provides a star product formula on a target space [76][25]. The second application is also an example of quantizations of the AKSZ TFTs. Though quantization of the AKSZ TFTs is carried out only in few case, this example is one of successful cases.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the BV formalism of an abelian BF theory in two dimensions is considered and an interaction term is determined by deformation theory. This theory is reconstructed by a superfield formalism. In section 3, an abelian BF theory in higher dimensions is constructed by the BV formalism and reformulated by a superfield formalism. In section 4, a mathematical ingredient for the AKSZ construction, a QP manifold, is defined and examples are listed. In section 5, the definition of the AKSZ construction is explained. In section 6, deformation theory derives general consistent interaction terms in general AKSZ TFTs. In section 7, a local coordinate expression of an AKSZ TFT is written. In section 8, examples of AKSZ TFTs are listed. In section 9, AKSZ TFTs on an open manifold are analyzed. In section 10 and 11, two important applications are discussed, derivations of A model and B model, and a deformation quantization on a Poisson manifold from the quantization of the Poisson sigma model. Section 12 is devoted to related works and future outlook.

2 Topological Field Theory in Two Dimensions

We explain concept of the AKSZ construction by a simple example. We start an abelian BF theory in two dimensions and consider its Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism. A consistent interaction term is introduced by deformation theory and the BV formalism of an interacting theory is summarized to a clear mathematical construction.

2.1 Abelian BF Theory in Two Dimensions

A simplest topological field theory is an abelian BF theory in two dimensions. Let Σ be a manifold in two dimensions with a local coordinate σ^μ ($\mu = 0, 1$). We suppose Σ has no boundary. In this article, we take the Euclidean signature.

Let $A_{\mu i}(\sigma)$ be a gauge field and $\phi^i(\sigma)$ be a scalar field, where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, d$ is indices on a target space in d dimensions. The action is as follows:

$$S_A = -\frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma d^2\sigma\ \epsilon^{\mu\nu} F_{0\mu\nu i}\phi^i = \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma\ \epsilon^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu i}\partial_\nu \phi^i,$$

where $F_{0\mu\nu i} = \partial_\mu A_{\nu i} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu i}$ is a field strength. Note that a boundary integral vanishes. This theory has the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry:

$$\delta_0 A_{\mu i} = \partial_\mu \epsilon_i, \qquad \delta_0 \phi^i = 0,$$

where $\epsilon_i(\sigma)$ is a gauge parameter.

Let us consider the following problem. We add terms to S_A and deform a gauge symmetry δ_0 as

$$S = S_A + S_I,$$
$$\delta = \delta_0 + \delta_1.$$

Then we search consistent S and δ. The new action S and the new modified gauge symmetry δ must satisfy following two consistency conditions. The action is gauge invariant: $\delta S = 0$, and the gauge symmetry algebra is closed, at least under the equations of motion, $[\delta_\epsilon, \delta_{\epsilon'}] = \delta_{[\epsilon,\epsilon']} +$ (equations of motion). $\delta S = 0$ must be satisfied without the equations of motion but the closedness condition for a gauge algebra $[\delta_\epsilon, \delta_{\epsilon'}] = \delta_{[\epsilon,\epsilon']} +$(equations of motion) is sufficient to be satisfied along the orbit of the equations of motion.

In order to construct a consistent field theory, physical conditions are imposed on S: S is required diffeomorphism invariant, local and unitary. Two actions are equivalent if they are classically equivalent gauge theories by local replacement of the basis. That is, if two actions coincide $\tilde{S}(\tilde{\Phi}) = S(\Phi)$ under local redefinition of fields $\tilde{\Phi} = f(\Phi)$, they are equivalent. Moreover we require that two theories are equivalent if $\delta_1 = 0$. From requirement of a local field theory, we have a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$, such that $S = \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a function of local fields. We assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is at most a polynomial with respect to a gauge field $A_{\mu i}$.

The problem is to determine the most general S_I under the assumptions above. In order to unify two conditions $\delta S = 0$ and $[\delta_\epsilon, \delta_{\epsilon'}] = \delta_{[\epsilon,\epsilon']} +$ (equations of motion), we formulate the theory by the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism. This is the most general method to realize consistency of a gauge theory.

Let us apply usual procedure to this abelian BF theory to construct a gauge theory by the BV formalism [52][42]. First, a gauge parameter ϵ_i is replaced to the FP ghost c_i, which is a Grassmann odd scalar field. The **ghost numbers** $\mathrm{gh}\Phi$ are defined as $\mathrm{gh}A_{\mu i} = \mathrm{gh}\phi^i = 0$ and $\mathrm{gh}c_i = 1$. A gauge transformation δ_0 is converted to a BRST transformation such that $\delta_0^2 = 0$ by replacement to the FP ghost. This condition imposes $\delta_0 c_i = 0$.

Table 1: Ghost number and form degree of fields and antifields

form degree \ghost number	-2	-1	0	1
0	c^{*i}	ϕ^*_i	ϕ^i	c_i
1		$A^{*\mu i}$	$A_{\mu i}$	

For all the fields $\Phi = (A_{\mu i}, \phi^i, c_i)$, antifields $\Phi^* = (A^{*\mu i}, \phi^*_i, c^{*i})$ are introduced, which have reverse Grassmann properties and the same spins with the corresponding field. The ghost numbers of antifields are defined by the equation gh $\Phi+$gh $\Phi^* = -1$. $A^{*\mu i}$ is a vector of ghost number -1. ϕ^*_i is a scalar field of ghost number -1. c^{*i} is a scalar field of ghost number -2.

Next an odd Poisson bracket called the **antibracket** is introduced as $\{\Phi(\sigma), \Phi^*(\sigma')\} = -\{\Phi^*(\sigma'), \Phi(\sigma)\} = \delta^2(\sigma - \sigma')$. It is written as

$$\{F, G\} \equiv \sum_{\Phi} \int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \left(F \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \Phi(\sigma)} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \Phi^*(\sigma')} G - F \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \Phi^*(\sigma)} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \Phi(\sigma')} G \right) \delta^2(\sigma - \sigma') \qquad (2.1)$$

where differentiations are functional differentiations and $F\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \Phi(\sigma)} = (-1)^{(\mathrm{gh}F - \mathrm{gh}\Phi)(\mathrm{gh}\Phi)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Phi(\sigma)}$ is a right differentiation and $\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \Phi^*(\sigma')} F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Phi^*(\sigma')}$ is a left differentiation. The antibracket is graded symmetric, and satisfies the graded Leibniz rule and the graded Jacobi identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{F, G\} = -(-1)^{(\mathrm{gh}F+1)(\mathrm{gh}G+1)}\{G, F\}, \\
&\{F, GH\} = \{F, G\}H + (-1)^{(\mathrm{gh}F+1)\mathrm{gh}G} G\{F, H\}, \\
&\{FG, H\} = F\{G, H\} + (-1)^{\mathrm{gh}G(\mathrm{gh}H+1)}\{F, H\}G, \\
&(-1)^{(\mathrm{gh}F+1)(\mathrm{gh}H+1)}\{F, \{G, H\}\} + \text{cyclic permutations} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where F, G and H are functions of Φ and Φ^*.

Finally a Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) action $S^{(0)}$ is constructed as follows:

$$S^{(0)} = S_A + (-1)^{\mathrm{gh}\Phi} \int_{\Sigma} \Phi^* \delta_0 \Phi + O(\Phi^{*2}),$$

where $O(\Phi^{*2})$ is determined order by order to satisfy $\{S^{(0)}, S^{(0)}\} = 0$. $\{S^{(0)}, S^{(0)}\} = 0$ is called a classical master equation. In the abelian BF theory, the BV action is defined by adding ghost terms as

$$S^{(0)} = \int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \epsilon^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu i} \partial_\nu \phi^i + \int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma A^{*\nu i} \partial_\nu c_i,$$

and $O(\Phi^{*2}) = 0$. It is easily confirmed that $S^{(0)}$ satisfies the classical master equation.

The BRST transformation in the BV formalism is

$$\delta_0 F[\Phi, \Phi^*] = \{S^{(0)}, F[\Phi, \Phi^*]\},$$

which coincides with the gauge transformation on fields Φ. The BRST transformations are

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_0 A_{\mu i} = \partial_\mu c_i, \qquad \delta_0 A^{*\mu i} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu \phi^i, \\ &\delta_0 {\phi^*}_i = \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu A_{\nu i}, \qquad \delta_0 c^{*i} = -\partial_\mu A^{*\mu i}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

and zero for other fields. The classical master equation $\{S^{(0)}, S^{(0)}\} = 0$ guarantees two consistency conditions by one equation, gauge invariance of the action and closedness of a gauge algebra. Gauge invariance of the action is proved as $\delta_0 S^{(0)} = \{S^{(0)}, S^{(0)}\} = 0$. Closedness of the gauge symmetry algebra is proved as $\delta_0^2 F = \{S^{(0)}, \{S^{(0)}, F\}\} = \frac{1}{2}\{\{S^{(0)}, S^{(0)}\}, F\} = 0$ by using the Jacobi identity.

2.2 Deformation of Abelian BF Theory in Two Dimensions

Deformation theory of gauge theories is a systematic method to obtain a new gauge theory from a known gauge theory [9][6][51]. Deformation theory in the BV formalism locally determines a nontrivial consistent interaction term S_I.

We consider deformation of a BV action $S^{(0)}$ to S as

$$S = S^{(0)} + gS^{(1)} + g^2 S^{(2)} + \cdots, \tag{2.3}$$

under the fixed antibracket $\{-, -\}$, where g is a deformation parameter. From consistency, the classical master equation $\{S, S\} = 0$ on S is required. Moreover we require the equivalence relation. S' is equivalent to S if and only if $S' = S + \{S, T\}$, where T is an integration of a local term written by fields and antifields. This condition corresponds to the physical equivalent condition in the previous subsection. $S^{(n)}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$ is determined order by order by solving expansions with respect to g^n. Physical conditions explained in the previous subsection, invariance, locality and unitarity, are required for the resultant theories to be physically consistent. It is required that S is diffeomorphism invariant on Σ and an integration of a local function (Lagrangian) $\mathcal{L}$ on Σ, and has ghost number 0.

We substitute the equation (2.3) to the classical master equation $\{S, S\} = 0$. At g^0 order, we obtain $\{S^{(0)}, S^{(0)}\} = 0$. This equation is already satisfied since it is the classical master equation of the abelian BF theory.

At g^1 order, we obtain

$$\{S^{(0)}, S^{(1)}\} = \delta_0 S^{(1)} = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

From the assumption of locality, $S^{(1)}$ is an integral of a 2-form $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ such that $S^{(1)} = \int_\Sigma \mathcal{L}^{(1)}$. The equation (2.4) requires that $\delta_0 \mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ is a total derivative. Then the following descent equations are obtained by repeating the same arguments for descent terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_0 \mathcal{L}^{(1)} + da_1 = 0, \\
&\delta_0 a_1 + da_0 = 0, \\
&\delta_0 a_0 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where a_1 is a 1-form of ghost number 1 and a_0 is a 0-form of ghost number 2. a_0 is determined as

$$a_0 = -\frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\phi) c_i c_j,$$

up to δ_0 exact terms. Here $f^{ij}(\phi)$ is an arbitrary function of ϕ such that $f^{ij}(\phi) = -f^{ji}(\phi)$. Note that terms dependent of a metric on Σ or terms including differentials ∂_μ are prohibited because those terms are δ_0 exact up to total derivatives. If we solve descent equations,

$$a_1 = f^{ij} A_i c_j - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f^{ij}}{\partial \phi^k} A^{+k} c_i c_j,$$

up to BRST exact terms, and $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ is uniquely determined as

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} f^{ij} (A_i A_j - 2\phi_i^+ c_j) + \frac{\partial f^{ij}}{\partial \phi^k} \left(\frac{1}{2} c^{+k} c_i c_j + A^{+k} A_i c_j \right) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f^{ij}}{\partial \phi^k \partial \phi^l} A^{+k} A^{+l} c_i c_j, \tag{2.5}$$

up to BRST exact terms [69]. Here $A_i \equiv dx^\mu A_{\mu i}$, $A^{+i} \equiv dx^\mu \epsilon_{\mu\nu} A^{*\nu i}$, $\phi_i^+ \equiv *\phi_i^*$, $c^{+i} \equiv *c^{*i}$ and $*$ is the Hodge star on Σ. Note that [b]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_0 A_i = dc_i, \qquad \delta_0 {\phi^+}_i = dA_i, \\
&\delta_0 A^{+i} = -d\phi^i, \qquad \delta_0 c^{+i} = dA^{+i}.
\end{aligned}$$

At g^2 order, the master equation is $\{S^{(1)}, S^{(1)}\} + 2\{S^{(0)}, S^{(2)}\} = 0$. From the assumption of locality, $S^{(2)}$ is an integral of a local function $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ of fields and antifields. Since $\delta_0(\Psi) = \partial_\mu(*)$

---

[b] In the Lorentzian signature, the transformations of A^+ and c^+ have the opposite signatures.

for all the fields and antifields, $\{S^{(0)}, S^{(2)}\} = \int d\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = 0$ if there is no boundary term. Since Σ is assumed not to have a boundary, this equation is satisfied. Then if $S^{(1)}$ is redefined as $S^{(1)\prime} = S^{(1)} + gS^{(2)}$, $S^{(2)}$ is absorbed to $S^{(1)}$.

Continuing this procedure order by order, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &S^{(n)} = 0, \qquad (n = 2, 3, \cdots), \\ &\{S^{(1)}, S^{(1)}\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Substituting (2.5) to $\{S^{(1)}, S^{(1)}\} = 0$, the following condition on $f^{ij}(\phi)$ is obtained:

$$\frac{\partial f^{ij}}{\partial \phi^m}(\phi) f^{mk}(\phi) + \frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial \phi^m}(\phi) f^{mi}(\phi) + \frac{\partial f^{kl}}{\partial \phi^m}(\phi) f^{mj}(\phi) = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

We have the general solution of deformation of an abelian BF theory in two dimensions [69]. The complete BV action is following:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S^{(0)} + gS^{(1)} \\ &= \int_\Sigma A_i d\phi^i + A^{+i} dc_i + g\Bigg(\frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(A_i A_j - 2\phi_i^+ c_j) + \frac{\partial f^{ij}}{\partial \phi^k}\left(\frac{1}{2} c^{+k} c_i c_j + A^{+k} A_i c_j\right) \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2 f^{ij}}{\partial \phi^k \partial \phi^l} A^{+k} A^{+l} c_i c_j, \Bigg), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Here $f^{ij}(\phi)$ satisfies the identity (2.7). If we set $\Phi^* = 0$, we have the usual action:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \left(\epsilon^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu i} \partial_\nu \phi^i + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu} f^{ij}(\phi) A_{\mu i} A_{\nu j}\right), \\ &= \int_\Sigma A_i d\phi^i + \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\phi) A_i A_j, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where g is absorbed by the redefinition of f. This action is called the Poisson sigma model, and also called the nonlinear gauge theory in two dimensions [64][57][107][108].

Theorem 2.1 *Deformation of an abelian BF theory in two dimensions is the Poisson sigma model [69].*

This model is considered as a simplest nontrivial AKSZ TFT.

2.3 The Poisson Sigma Model

In this subsection, properties of the Poisson sigma model (2.9) are listed.

If $f^{ij}(\phi) = 0$, then the theory reduces to an abelian BF theory,

$$S_A = \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \epsilon^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu i} \partial_\nu \phi^i = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \phi^i \ F_{0\mu\nu i}.$$

If $f^{ij}(\phi)$ is a linear function, $f^{ij}(\phi) = f^{ij}{}_k \phi^k$, (2.7) is equivalent to the Jacobi identity of the structure constants $f^{ij}{}_k$ of a Lie algebra. The theory is a nonabelian BF theory:

$$S_{NA} = \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \left(\epsilon^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu i} \partial_\nu \phi^i + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} f^{ij}{}_k \phi^k A_{\mu i} A_{\nu j} \right) = \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \phi^i F_{\mu\nu i},$$

where $F_{\mu\nu i} = \partial_\mu A_{\nu i} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu i} + f^{jk}{}_i A_{\mu j} A_{\nu k}$, and this action has the following gauge symmetry:

$$\delta \phi^i = -f^{ij}{}_k \phi^k \epsilon_j, \qquad \delta A_{\mu i} = \partial_\mu \epsilon_i + \frac{1}{2} f^{jk}{}_i A_{\mu j} \epsilon_k.$$

The Poisson sigma model has the following gauge symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \phi^i &= -f^{ij}(\phi) \epsilon_j, \\ \delta A_{\mu i} &= \partial_\mu \epsilon_i + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f^{jk}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^i} A_{\mu j} \epsilon_k, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

under the condition (2.7). In fact, we can directly prove that the requirement $\delta S = 0$ under the gauge transformation (2.10) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial f^{ij}}{\partial \phi^m}(\phi) f^{mk}(\phi) + \frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial \phi^m}(\phi) f^{mi}(\phi) + \frac{\partial f^{kl}}{\partial \phi^m}(\phi) f^{mj}(\phi) = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

The gauge algebra has a following form:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta(\epsilon_1), \delta(\epsilon_2)] \phi^i &= \delta(\epsilon_3) \phi^i, \\ [\delta(\epsilon_1), \delta(\epsilon_2)] A_{\mu i} &= \delta(\epsilon_3) A_{\mu i} + \epsilon_{1j} \epsilon_{2k} \frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial \phi^i \partial \phi^l}(\phi) \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\nu l}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are gauge parameters and $\epsilon_{3i} = \frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial \phi^i}(\phi) \epsilon_{1j} \epsilon_{2k}$. The equation (2.12) for $A_{\mu i}$ show that the gauge algebra is an open algebra. This is also proved as the algebra of constraints:

$$G^i = \partial_1 \phi^i + f^{ij}(\phi) A_{1j}.$$

The constraints G^i satisfies the same gauge algebra by the Poisson bracket. Therefore this theory cannot be quantized by the BRST formalism, but the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism.

This model is a sigma model from a manifold in two dimensions Σ to a target space M, based on a map $\phi : \Sigma \longrightarrow M$. If (2.7) is satisfied on $f^{ij}(\phi)$, $\{F(\phi), G(\phi)\}_{P.B.} \equiv f^{ij}(\phi)\frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi^i}\frac{\partial G}{\partial \phi^j}$ defines a Poisson bracket on a target space M since the equation (2.7) is the Jacobi identity of this Poisson bracket [c].

Conversely, assume a Poisson bracket $\{F(\phi), G(\phi)\}_{P.B.} = f^{ij}(\phi)\frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi^i}\frac{\partial G}{\partial \phi^j}$ on M. Then the equation (2.7) is derived from the Jacobi identity, and the action (2.9) constructed by this $f^{ij}(\phi)$ is gauge invariant. From this property, the action S is called the **Poisson sigma model**.

The algebraic structure of the gauge algebra is not a Lie algebra but a **Lie algebroid** over a cotangent bundle T^*M [86].

Definition 2.2 *A **Lie algebroid** over a manifold M is a vector bundle $E \to M$ with a Lie algebra structure on the space of the sections $\Gamma(E)$ defined by the bracket $[e_1, e_2]$, for $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$ and a bundle map (the anchor) $\rho : E \to TM$ satisfying the following properties:*

$$1,\ [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)] = \rho([e_1, e_2]), \quad \text{For} \quad e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E),$$

$$2,\ [e_1, F e_2] = F[e_1, e_2] + (\rho(e_1)F)e_2, \quad \text{For} \quad e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E),\ F \in C^\infty(M),$$

where the bracket $[-,-]$ on the right hand side in the equation 1 is an usual Lie bracket of the vector fields.

Let us take local coordinates expressions. Let x^i be a local coordinate on a base manifold M and e_a be a local basis on the fiber of E. Two operations in the definition 2.2 are expressed as

$$\rho(e_a)F(x) = {f_1}^i{}_a(x)\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x^i}, \qquad [e_a, e_b] = {f_2}^c{}_{ab}(x) e_c,$$

where $i, j, \cdots$ are indices on M and $a, b, \cdots$ are indices of the fiber of the vector bundle E. f_1 and f_2 are local functions. Then the equation 1 and 2 in the definition 2.2 are

$$\begin{aligned} &{f_1}^m{}_a \frac{\partial {f_1}^i{}_b}{\partial \phi^m} - {f_1}^m{}_b \frac{\partial {f_1}^i{}_a}{\partial \phi^m} + {f_1}^i{}_c {f_2}^c{}_{ab} = 0, \\ &{f_1}^m{}_{[a} \frac{\partial {f_2}^d{}_{bc]}}{\partial \phi^m} + {f_2}^d{}_{e[a} {f_2}^e{}_{bc]} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

---

[c] In this paper, $\{-,-\}$ is a BV antibracket and $\{-,-\}_{P.B.}$ is an usual Poisson bracket.

If we consider the cotangent bundle $E = T^*M$, the indices on the fiber $a, b, \cdots$ runs the same numbers as $i, j, \cdots$. We can take ${f_1}^{ij}(\phi) = f^{ij}(\phi)$ and ${f_{2i}}^{jk}(\phi) = \frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial \phi^i}(\phi)$. Substituting these equations to (2.13), we obtain the Jacobi identity (2.7).

The action (2.9) is unitary, and physical degrees of freedom of fields are zero because we analyze the constraints in the Hamiltonian analysis or counting the gauge symmetries in the Lagrangian analysis. The partition function does not depend on a metric on Σ and M. That is, the Poisson sigma model is a topological field theory.

Gravity in two dimensions is regarded as the Poisson sigma model, which is a nontrivial example of this model [64][57][108]. Let a target manifold M be in three dimensions. Take the target space indices $i = 0, 1, 2$ and $\bar{i} = 0, 1$. Let us denote $A_{\mu i} = (e_{\mu \bar{i}}, \omega_\mu)$ and $\phi^i = (\phi^{\bar{i}}, \varphi)$. We set $f^{ij}(\phi)$ as

$$f^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}(\phi^i) = -\epsilon^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} V(\varphi), \quad f^{2\bar{i}}(\phi^i) = -f^{\bar{i}2} = \epsilon^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \phi_{\bar{j}}, \quad f^{22}(\phi^i) = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

The equation (2.14) satisfies (2.7). Then the action (2.9) reduces to

$$S \;=\; \int_\Sigma \sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{1}{2}\varphi R - V(\varphi)\right) - \phi_{\bar{i}} T^{\bar{i}},$$

where g is a determinant of a metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} e_{\mu\bar{i}} e_{\mu\bar{j}}$ on Σ, R is a scalar curvature and $T^{\bar{i}}$ is a torsion. It is identified that $e_{\mu\bar{i}}$ is a zweibein and $\omega_\mu^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \omega_\mu \epsilon^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$ is a spin connection. This action is the gauge theoretic formalism of the gravitational theory with a dilaton scalar.

Let G be a Lie group. The Poisson sigma model on the target space T^*G reduces to the G/G gauged WZW model by a proper gauge fixing of $A_{\mu i}$ [2].

If f^{ij} is invertible as an antisymmetric matrix, f_{ij}^{-1} defines a symplectic form on M. Then $A_{\mu i}$ can be integrated out and the action becomes so called A-model,

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \epsilon^{\mu\nu} {f^{-1}}_{ij}(\phi) \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial_\nu \phi^j,$$

which integrand is a pullback of a symplectic structure on M. If M is a complex manifold, B-model is derived from the Poisson sigma model [3].

A Poisson structure is defined from a classical r-matrix. A sigma model in two dimensions with a classical r-matrix is constructed as a special case of the Poisson sigma model [39][19], which has the Poisson-Lie structure.

The Poisson sigma model is generalized by introducing a WZ term $\int_{X_3} \frac{1}{3!} H_{ijk}(\phi) d\phi^i \wedge d\phi^j \wedge d\phi^k$:

$$S = \int_\Sigma A_i d\phi^i + \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\phi) A_i A_j + \int_{X_3} \frac{1}{3!} H_{ijk}(\phi) d\phi^i \wedge d\phi^j \wedge d\phi^k, \tag{2.15}$$

where X_3 is a manifold in three dimensions such that $\partial X_3 = \Sigma$ and $H(\phi) = \frac{1}{3!} H_{ijk}(\phi) d\phi^i \wedge d\phi^j \wedge d\phi^k$ is the pull back of a closed 3-form on M. This action is called the WZ-Poisson sigma model [75].

Quantization of the Poisson sigma model describes a deformation quantization on a Poisson manifold. Let Σ be a disc in two dimensions. A tree open string amplitudes of boundary observables of the Poisson sigma model coincide with a deformation quantization formulae on a Poisson manifold M by Kontsevich [25]. This corresponds to the large B-field limit in the open string theory [113].

2.4 Superfield Formalism

From now on, we set $g = 1$ by absorbing g to f^{ij}. The BV action of the Poisson sigma model (2.8) is simplified by introducing supercoordinates [25]. Let us introduce a Grassmann odd supercoordinate θ^μ ($\mu = 0, 1$). It is not a spinor but a vector, therefore θ^μ carries ghost number, which is assigned by 1.

Superfields are introduced by combining fields, antifields and θ as

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\phi}^i(\sigma, \theta) &\equiv \phi^i + \theta^\mu A^{+i}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \theta^\mu \theta^\nu c^{+i}_{\mu\nu} = \phi^i + A^{+i} + c^{+i}, \\ \boldsymbol{A}_i(\sigma, \theta) &\equiv -c_i + \theta^\mu A_{\mu i} + \frac{1}{2} \theta^\mu \theta^\nu \phi^+_{\mu\nu i} = -c_i + A_i + \phi^+_i, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where each term in a superfield has the same ghost number .[d] Note that component superfields are denoted by the same notation in the non superfield formalism in this subsection. $d\sigma$ is replaced by θ in (2.16). The original fields ϕ^i and $A_{\mu i}$ are in $(\theta)^{\mathrm{gh}\Phi}$ components in superfields, respectively. Ghost number is also called **degree** $|\Phi|$ in the AKSZ formalism. The degree of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ is 0 and the one of $\boldsymbol{A}$ is 1.

The BV action (2.8) is summarized to the super integral of superfields as

$$S = \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \, \left(\boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{\phi}^i + \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{A}_j \right) \tag{2.17}$$

---

[d] Note that that $d\sigma^\mu$ is commutative with a Grassmann odd component field in the nonsuperfield BV formalism, but θ^μ is anticommutative with a Grassmann odd component field in the superfield formalism.

where $\boldsymbol{d} \equiv \theta^\mu \partial_\mu$ is a superderivative and $T[1]\Sigma$ is a supermanifold which has a local coordinate (σ^μ, θ^μ). The degree of S is $|S| = 0$. If we make the integration with respect to θ^μ, (2.17) reduces to the equation (2.8).

The antibracket (2.1) is combined to the super antibracket:

$$\{F, G\} \equiv \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \left(F \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}^i} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{A}_i} G - F \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{A}_i} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}^i} G \right) \delta^2(\sigma - \sigma')\delta^2(\theta - \theta'),$$

where F and G are functions of superfields. The classical master equation is combined to the super classical master equation $\{S, S\} = 0$. The BRST transformation on a superfield $\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \Phi^{(0)} + \theta^\mu \Phi^{(1)}_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\theta^\mu\theta^\nu\Phi^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\delta\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \{S, \boldsymbol{\Phi}^i\} = \delta\Phi^{(0)} - \theta^\mu \delta\Phi^{(1)}_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\theta^\mu\theta^\nu\delta\Phi^{(2)}_{\mu\nu},$$

because the BRST transformation δ has ghost number 1. The BRST transformation on each field is also summarized by superfields as:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\boldsymbol{\phi}^i &= \{S, \boldsymbol{\phi}^i\} = \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{\phi}^i + f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\phi})\boldsymbol{A}_j, \\ \delta\boldsymbol{A}_i &= \{S, \boldsymbol{A}_i\} = \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{A}_i + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}^i}(\boldsymbol{\phi})\boldsymbol{A}_j\boldsymbol{A}_k. \end{aligned}$$

A (pull back of a) Poisson bracket on a target space is constructed by the double bracket of the super antibracket:

$$\{F(\phi), G(\phi)\}_{P.B.} = f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\phi})\frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{\phi})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}^i}\frac{\partial G(\boldsymbol{\phi})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}^j}|_{\boldsymbol{\phi}=\phi} = -\{\{F(\boldsymbol{\phi}), S\}, G(\boldsymbol{\phi})\}|_{\boldsymbol{\phi}=\phi},$$

This double bracket is called a derived bracket [78].

This superfield description leads us to mathematical constructions of a BV action of a topological field theory called the AKSZ construction. Physical ingredients are reinterpreted as mathematical ingredients as follows: A BV formalism is an AKSZ construction. A superfield is a graded manifold. A BV antibracket is a graded symplectic form. A BV action and the classical master equation is a coboundary operator Q with $Q^2 = 0$ and its realization by a Hamiltonian vector field.

3 Abelian BF Theories for i-Forms Gauge Fields in Higher Dimensions

3.1 Action

Superfield constructions in the previous section are applied in a wide class of TFTs. An abelian BF theory in $n+1$ dimension is considered as an example to guess the formulation of the AKSZ construction.

Let us take a manifold X_{n+1} in $n+1$ dimensions. Take a local coordinate σ^μ on X_{n+1}. i-form gauge fields, $e^{a(i)} = \frac{1}{i!} d\sigma^{\mu(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\sigma^{\mu(k)} e^{a(i)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(i)}(\sigma)$, are introduced, for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Here an index for an i-form gauge field is denoted by $a(i)$. For convenience, we divide $e^{a(i)}$'s to two types, $(q^{a(i)}, p_{a(n-i)})$ where, $q^{a(i)} = e^{a(i)}$ if $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and $p_{a(n-i)} = e^{a(i)}$ if $\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor \leq i \leq n$, where $\lfloor m \rfloor$ is the floor function which gives the largest integer less than or equal to m. If n is even, $q^{a(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}$ and $p_{a(n-\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor)} = p_{a(n/2)}$ are essentially the same gauge fields. Strictly speaking, introduce a metric $k_{a(n/2)b(n/2)}$ on the space of $\frac{n}{2}$-forms. Then we take $p_{a(n/2)} = k_{a(n/2)b(n/2)} q^{b(n/2)}$. We also denote a 0-form as $x^{a(0)} (= q^{a(0)} = e^{a(0)})$ and an n-form $\xi_{a(0)} (= p_{a(0)} = e^{a(n)})$.

The action S_A of an abelian BF theory is the integration of a Lagrangian like $e \wedge de'$, which is nonzero only for $e \wedge de'$ is a $n+1$-form. Therefore the action has the following form. If n =odd,

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &= \int_{X_{n+1}} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2, a(i)} (-1)^{n+1-i} p_{a(i)} dq^{a(i)} \\ &= \int_{X_{n+1}} \left((-1)^{n+1} \xi_{a(0)} dx^{a(0)} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2, a(i)} (-1)^{n+1-i} p_{a(i)} dq^{a(i)} \right), \end{aligned} \qquad (3.18)$$

and if n =even,

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &= \int_{X_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq (n-2)/2, a(i)} (-1)^{n+1-i} p_{a(i)} dq^{a(i)} + (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} k_{a(n/2)b(n/2)} q^{a(n/2)} dq^{b(n/2)} \right) \\ &= \int_{X_{n+1}} ((-1)^{n+1} \xi_{a(0)} dx^{a(0)} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq (n-2)/2, a(i)} (-1)^{n+1-i} p_{a(i)} dq^{a(i)} \\ &\qquad + (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} k_{a(n/2)b(n/2)} q^{a(n/2)} dq^{b(n/2)}). \end{aligned}$$

The sign factors are for convenience. If we define $p_{a(n/2)} = k_{a(n/2)b(n/2)} q^{a(n/2)}$, S_A's for both $n =$ even and odd have the unified expression:

$$S_A \;=\; \sum_{0 \le i \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, a(i)} \int_{X_{n+1}} (-1)^{n+1-i} p_{a(i)} dq^{a(i)}.$$

This action has gauge symmetries:

$$\delta q^{a(i)} = dq^{(i-1),a(i)}, \qquad \delta p_{a(i)} = dp^{(n-i-1)}{}_{a(i)}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $q^{(i-1),a(i)}$ is a $i-1$-form gauge parameter and $p^{(n-i-1)}{}_{a(i)}$ is a $n-i-1$-form gauge parameter. Two equations are summarized as $\delta e^{a(i)} = de^{(i-1),a(i)}$, where $e^{(i-1),a(i)} = (q^{(i-1),a(i)}, p^{(i-1)}{}_{a(n-i)})$ is a $i-1$-form gauge parameter.

If i-forms are expanded by local fields as $e^{a(i)}(\sigma) = \sum_{k,\mu(k)} \frac{1}{k!} d\sigma^{\mu(1)} \cdots d\sigma^{\mu(k)} e^{a(k)}{}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$, the action becomes

$$S_A \;=\; \sum_{0 \le i \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, a(i), \mu(i)} \pm \frac{1}{i!(n-i)!} \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma \; (-1)^{n+1-i} \epsilon^{\mu(0)\cdots\mu(n)} p_{a(i)\mu(i+1)\cdots\mu(n)} \partial_{\mu(i)} q^{a(i)}{}_{\mu(0)\cdots\mu(i-1)}.$$

3.2 Batalin-Vilkovisky Formalism

In the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism, the ghosts, ghosts for ghosts and antifields are introduced for each i-form gauge field, $e^{a(i)}$. First, a gauge parameter $e^{(i-1),a(i)}$ is regarded as the FP ghost of ghost number 1. Moreover we need the following towers of the 'ghost for ghosts' because the gauge symmetry is reducible:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_0 e^{a(i)} = de^{(i-1),a(i)}, \\
&\delta_0 e^{(i-1),a(i)} = de^{(i-2),a(i)}, \\
&\vdots \\
&\delta_0 e^{(1),a(i)} = de^{(0),a(i)}, \\
&\delta_0 e^{(0),a(i)} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where $e^{(k),a(i)}$ is a k-form ghost for ghosts, $k = 0, \cdots, i-1$. $e^{(k),a(i)}$ has ghost number $i-k$. As usual, these fields are Grassmann odd/even if ghost number is odd/even. We denote the original field by $e^{(i),a(i)} = e^{a(i)}$.

Next, antifields $e^{*(k)}{}_{a(i)}$ are introduced for fields and ghosts $e^{(k),a(i)}$. $e^{*(k)}{}_{a(i)}$ is the same k-form as $e^{(k),a(i)}$. Since $\mathrm{gh}(\Phi) + \mathrm{gh}(\Phi^*) = -1$, an antifield has ghost number $k-i-1$. It is convenient to introduce the Hodge dual of a antifield, $e^{+(n+1-k)}{}_{a(i)} = *e^{(k)}{}_{a(i)}$, which is a $(n+1-k)$-form of ghost number $k-i-1$. The **antibracket** is defined as [e]

$$\begin{aligned}\{F, G\} \ \equiv\ & \sum_{i,k} \int_{X_{n+1}} d^{n+1}\sigma \left(F \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial e^{(k),a(i)}(\sigma)} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial e^{+(n+1-k)}{}_{a(i)}(\sigma')} G \right. \\ & \left. -(-1)^{i(n+1-i)}\ F \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial e^{+(n+1-k)}{}_{a(i)}(\sigma)} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial e^{(k),a(i)}(\sigma')} G \right) \delta^{n+1}(\sigma - \sigma'). \quad (3.21)\end{aligned}$$

The BV action has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}S^{(0)} &= S_A + \sum_{\Phi} (-1)^{\mathrm{gh}\Phi} \int_{X_{n+1}} d^{n+1}\sigma \Phi^* \delta_0 \Phi \\ &= \sum_{0 \le i \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, 1 \le k \le i} \int_{X_{n+1}} \left((-1)^{n+1-i} p_{a(i)} dq^{a(i)} + (-1)^{i-k} e^{+(n+1-k)}{}_{a(i)} de^{(k-1),a(i)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{0 \le i \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, 1 \le k \le i} \int_{X_{n+1}} \left((-1)^{n+1-i} p_{a(i)} dq^{a(i)} + (-1)^{i-k} q^{+(n+1-k)}{}_{a(i)} dq^{(k-1),a(i)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{i+k-n} p^{+(k+1),a(i)} dp^{(n-k-1)}{}_{a(i)} \right). \quad (3.22)\end{aligned}$$

3.3 Superfield Formalism

Let us introduce a super coordinate θ^μ of ghost number 1. The ghost number is called **degree** in the superfield formalism, again. Forms $d\sigma^\mu$'s in $e^{(k),a(i)}$ and $e^{+(n+1-k)}{}_{a(i)}$, are replaced by super coordinates θ^μ. $e^{(k),a(i)}$ and $e^{+(n+1-k)}{}_{a(i)}$ are converted to $\boldsymbol{e}^{(k),a(i)} = (\pm)\frac{1}{k!}\theta^{\mu(1)} \cdots \theta^{\mu(k)} e^{(k),a(i)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$ of degree i and $\boldsymbol{e}^{+(n+1-k)}{}_{a(i)} = (\pm)\frac{1}{k!}\theta^{\mu(1)} \cdots \theta^{\mu(n+1-k)} e^{+(n+1-k)}_{a(i),\mu(1)\cdots\mu(n+1-k)}(\sigma)$ of degree $n-i$. Though complicated sign factors appear in the correspondence of original ghosts and antifields to superfield components, we do not write explicit sign factors since that correspondence is one to one and we can identify the original fields and ghosts by ghost number and form degree. We define a superfield of degree i by combining $\boldsymbol{e}^{(k),a(i)}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}^{+(n+1-k)}{}_{a(i)}$ of degree i:

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)} &= \boldsymbol{e}^{(0),a(i)} + \boldsymbol{e}^{(1),a(i)} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{e}^{(i),a(i)} \\ &\qquad + \boldsymbol{e}^{+(i+1),a(n-i)} + \boldsymbol{e}^{+(i+2),a(n-i)} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{e}^{+(n),a(n-i)}, \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{i} \boldsymbol{e}^{(k),a(i)} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} \boldsymbol{e}^{+(k),a(n-i)}, \quad (3.23)\end{aligned}$$

---

[e] Though we use rough notation for a functional super derivative in this paper, it can be defined more mathematically.

where $0 \leq i \leq n$. Note that fields and ghosts for a i-form gauge field and antifields for a $n-i$-form gauge field are combined [24][33].

Let us introduce notation of the super antibracket conjugate pair $\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)} = (\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)}, \boldsymbol{p}_{a(n-i)})$. Then superfields are written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{i} \boldsymbol{q}^{(k),a(i)} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} \boldsymbol{p}^{(k),a(n-i)}, \\
\boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{i} \boldsymbol{p}^{(k)}{}_{a(i)} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} \boldsymbol{q}^{(k)}{}_{a(n-i)}. \qquad (3.24)
\end{aligned}$$

If n =even, $\boldsymbol{p}_{a(n/2)} = k_{a(n/2)b(n/2)} \boldsymbol{q}^{b(n/2)}$. Therefore $\boldsymbol{q}^{a(n/2)}$ contains both ghosts and antifields for a $\frac{n}{2}$-form gauge field $\boldsymbol{q}^{(n/2),a(n/2)}$:

$$\boldsymbol{q}^{a(n/2)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n/2} \boldsymbol{q}^{(k),a(n/2)} + \sum_{k=n/2+1}^{n} k^{a(n/2)b(n/2)} \boldsymbol{q}_{(k),b(n/2)}, \qquad (3.25)$$

The the antibrackets and BV action are simplified by superfields. The antibracket (3.21) is rewritten by superfields (3.23) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{F, G\} \equiv& \int_{X_{n+1}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \left(F \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)}(\sigma, \theta)} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)}(\sigma', \theta')} G \right. \\
& \left. -(-1)^{i(n-i)} F \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)}(\sigma, \theta)} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)}(\sigma', \theta')} G \right) \delta^{n+1}(\sigma - \sigma') \delta^{n+1}(\theta - \theta'). \qquad (3.26) \\
=& \int_{X_{n+1}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \left(F \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)}(\sigma)} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a(i)b(j)} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial \boldsymbol{e}^{b(j)}(\sigma')} G \right) \delta^{n+1}(\sigma - \sigma') \delta^{n+1}(\theta - \theta').
\end{aligned}$$

$\boldsymbol{\omega}^{a(i)b(j)}$ is an inverse of a graded symplectic structure on superfields. This satisfies conditions for the antibracket. That is, it is graded symmetric and satisfies the graded Leibniz rule and the graded Jacobi identity. The graded antibracket decreases degree by n. The BV action (3.22) is rewritten to the equivalent super BV action as

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{(0)} &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \int_{T[1]X_{n+1}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ (-1)^{n+1-i} \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)} \\
&= \int_{T[1]X_{n+1}} \mu \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{a(i)b(j)} d\boldsymbol{e}^{b(j)},
\end{aligned}$$

where μ is a Berezin measure on a supermanifold.

If we consider deformation theory, possible deformation S_I in a BF theory is obtained as the previous section. Deformation theory in the superfield formalism derives the same result as in the non superfield BV formalism in case of a topological field theory [58][59]. Therefore we consider only a superfield formalism from now.

These constructions of a topological field theories in the section 2 and 3 have the same structures: **superfields**, **antibrackets** and **BV actions**. These are mathematically formulated as QP manifolds.

4 QP Manifold

4.1 Definition

A QP Manifold is a key mathematical structure for the AKSZ construction of a topological field theory. This section and the next section is devoted to the mathematical formulation. Reader can also be referred to following articles including reviews [27][104] [101][34].

For superfields, a **graded manifold** is the mathematical counterpart. Locally it is defined as even coordinates and odd coordinates. Mathematically, a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ on a smooth manifold M is defined as a ringed space with a structure sheaf of a graded commutative algebra over an ordinary smooth manifold M. Grading is compatible with supermanifold grading. That is, a variable of even degree is commutative and one of odd degree is anticommutative. $\mathcal{M}$ is locally isomorphic to $C^\infty(U) \otimes S^{\cdot}(V)$, where U is a local chart on M, V is a graded vector space and $S^{\cdot}(V)$ is a free graded commutative ring on V. We can refer to [20][94][122] for a rigorous mathematical definition of a supermanifold.

Now the grading is assumed to be nonnegative [f] A graded manifold is called a *N-manifold*, if grading is nonnegative. Grading is called **degree**.

A mathematical structure corresponding to the antibracket is a **P-structure**. A N-manifold equipped with a graded symplectic structure ω of degree n is called a P-manifold of degree n, $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. ω is called a P-structure. The graded Poisson bracket on $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is defined from the graded symplectic structure ω on $\mathcal{M}$ as $\{f, g\} = (-1)^{|f|+1} i_{X_f} i_{X_g} \omega$, where a Hamiltonian vector field X_f is defined by the equation $\{f, g\} = X_f g$, for $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

---

[f] Though we do not consider a grading with negative degree in this article, there is a sigma model on a target graded manifold with negative degree [65][134], .

Finally, a mathematical structure corresponding to a BV action is prepared, which is called a **Q-structure**. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be a P-manifold of degree n. Suppose a differential Q of degree $+1$ with $Q^2 = 0$ on $\mathcal{M}$. Q is called a Q-structure.

Definition 4.1 *A triple* $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, Q)$ *is called a* ***QP-manifold*** *of degree* n *and its structure is called a* ***QP structure****, if* ω *and* Q *are compatible, that is,* $\mathcal{L}_Q \omega = 0$ [111].

Q is also called a homological vector field. We take a Hamiltonian $\Theta \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ of Q with respect to the graded Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ satisfying

$$Q = \{\Theta, -\}. \tag{4.27}$$

Θ has degree $n + 1$. The differential condition, $Q^2 = 0$, implies that Θ is a solution of the **classical master equation**,

$$\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0. \tag{4.28}$$

4.2 Notation and Examples

Let us introduce notation of a graded manifold. Let V be a usual vector space. Then $V[n]$ is a vector space shifting degree by n. More generally, if V_m is a graded vector space of degree m, elements of $V_m[n]$ have of degree $m + n$ (It is denoted by $V_{m+n} = V_m[n]$.). If V has degree n, the dual space V^* is assigned as degree $-n$.

Let M be an usual smooth manifold. Given a vector bundle $E \longrightarrow M$, $E[n]$ is a graded manifold assigning degree n for fiber variables. A graded tangent and cotangent bundle are denoted by $T[n]M$ and $T^*[n]M$ if degree of fiber is shifted by n. A base variable has degree 0 and a fiber variable has degree n.

This notation is generalized to the case that both M and the fiber are graded. $E[n]$ means degree of the fiber is shifted by n. Let M be an smooth manifold. For example, $TM[1]$ is a tangent bundle with both base degree and fiber degree $(1, 1)$. Considering duality of V and V^*, $T^*M[1]$ is a cotangent bundle with base degree and fiber degree $(1, -1)$. Therefore $T^*[n]M[1]$ is a cotangent bundle of degree $(1, n - 1)$.

Let us consider a typical example, a cotangent bundle of a vector bundle T^*E. We take a local variable on E, (x^i, q^a), where x^i is a variable on M and q^a is a variable on the fiber. And we take dual variables (ξ_i, p_a) on a cotangent space. If we consider a graded bundle $T^*[n]E[1]$, (x^i, q^a) have degree $(0, 1)$ and (ξ_i, p_a) have degree $(0 + n, -1 + n) = (n, n - 1)$.

$[n]$ is notation for $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded degree. We can regard a graded manifold as a 'super' manifold if degree is defined only by $\mod 2$. Then the reverse of odd and even degree is denoted by Π. For example, ΠTM is a tangent bundle of which degree of fiber is odd. $\Pi TM \simeq T[1]M$ if we consider degree by mod 2.

$C^\infty(E[1])$ is equivalent to the sheaf of sections of $\wedge^\bullet E$: $C^\infty(E[1]) = \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet E)$ by identifying a degree one element to a basis of the exterior algebra.

Typical examples of QP manifolds are listed.

Example 4.2 (Lie Algebra.) Let $n \geq 1$. A Lie algebra becomes a QP manifold of degree n on a point $M = \{pt\}$ for arbitrary n.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra with a Lie bracket $[-,-]$. Then $T^*[n]\mathfrak{g}[1] \simeq \mathfrak{g}[1] \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*[n-1]$ is a P manifold of degree n by a graded symplectic structure induced from a canonical symplectic structure on $T^*\mathfrak{g}$. We take local coordinates, $q^a \in \mathfrak{g}[1]$ of degree 1 and $p_a \in \mathfrak{g}^*[n-1]$ of degree $n-1$. A P-structure $\omega = \delta q^a \wedge \delta p_a$ is of degree n and induced from the canonical symplectic structure on $T^*\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$ by shifting degree of coordinates. Taking a Cartan form $\Theta = \frac{1}{2}\langle p, [q,q]\rangle = \frac{1}{2} f^a{}_{bc} p_a q^b q^c$, where $\langle -,-\rangle$ is a canonical pairing of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and $f^a{}_{bc}$ is a structure constant, Θ defines a Q-structure since this satisfies $\{\Theta,\Theta\} = 0$ by a Lie algebra structure.

4.2.1 $n = 1$

Example 4.3 (Poisson Structure.) Let $n = 1$. Then a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ has two degrees $(0,1)$ and is canonically considered as $\mathcal{M} = T^*[1]M$. Take a local coordinate (x^i, ξ_i) on $T^*[1]M$ of degree $(0,1)$, x^i is a coordinate of the base manifold M and ξ_i is one of the fiber. Note that ξ_i is an odd element, $\xi_i\xi_j = \xi_j\xi_i$. A P-structure is $\omega = \delta x^i \wedge \delta \xi_i$. For $n = 1$, a graded Poisson bracket $\{-,-\}$ is also called a Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket. Because a Q-structure Hamiltonian Θ has degree two, a general form is $\Theta = \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j$ where $f^{ij}(x)$ is an arbitrary function of x. The classical master equation $\{\Theta,\Theta\} = 0$ imposes the following condition on $f^{ij}(x)$:

$$\frac{\partial f^{ij}(x)}{\partial x^l} f^{lk}(x) + (ijk \text{ cyclic}) = 0. \tag{4.29}$$

If f^{ij} satisfies the equation (4.29), the equation

$$\{F,G\}_{P.B.} = f^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial G}{\partial x^j} = -\{\{F,\Theta\},G\}. \tag{4.30}$$

defines a Poisson bracket on M. (4.29) is derived from the Jacobi identity of this Poisson bracket.

Conversely, assume a Poisson bracket $\{F,G\}_{P.B.}$ on M. A Poisson bracket is locally written as $f^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial G}{\partial x^j}$. Then $\Theta = \frac{1}{2}f^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j$ satisfies the classical master equation and is a Q-structure.

Thus a QP manifold of degree 1 on $T^*[1]M$ is a Poisson manifold on M. This is also regarded as a Lie algebroid in the definition (2.2) on T^*M. A Q-structure Θ is called a Poisson bivector field.

Example 4.4 (Complex Structure.) Let M be a complex manifold. A linear transformation $J : TM \longrightarrow TM$ is called a **complex structure** if following two conditions are satisfied:
1) $J^2 = -1$.
2) For $X, Y \in TM$, $\Pi_\mp[\Pi_\pm X, \Pi_\pm Y] = 0$,
where $\Pi_\pm$ is a projection to $\pm\sqrt{-1}$ eigen bundles in TM and $[-,-]$ is a Lie bracket of vector fields.

We take a local coordinate expression $J^i{}_j$. Let us consider a graded manifold $\mathcal{M} = T^*[1]T[1]M$. This double vector bundle is locally isomorphic to $U \times \boldsymbol{R}^d[1] \times \boldsymbol{R}^d[1] \times \boldsymbol{R}^d[0]$, where U is a local chart on M. Let x^i be a coordinate on M, ξ_i be a coordinate of the fiber of $T^*[1]M$, q^i be a coordinate of the fiber of $T[1]M$, and p_i be a coordinate of the fiber of $T^*[0]M$. A P-structure is defined as

$$\omega = \delta x^i \wedge \delta\xi_i + \delta p_i \wedge \delta q^i.$$

If a Q-structure is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= J^i{}_j(x)\xi_i q^j + \frac{\partial J^i{}_k}{\partial x^j}(x) p_i q^j q^k \\ &= (\xi_i \ q^i)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}J^i{}_j(x) \\ -\frac{1}{2}J^j{}_i(x) & \frac{\partial J^i{}_k}{\partial x^j}(x)p_i \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\xi_j \\ q^j\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

$\{\Theta,\Theta\} = 0$ is equivalent to the integrability condition 2) in the definition of the complex structure J.

4.2.2 $n = 2$

Example 4.5 (Courant Algebroid.) Let $n = 2$. Then a P-structure ω is an even form of degree 2. A Q-structure Θ has degree 3 and $Q^2 = 0$ defines a Courant algebroid [36][88] structure on a vector bundle E [102][103].

First, let us take a P-manifold of degree 2, $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. Local coordinates are (x^i, q^a, ξ_i) of degree $(0, 1, 2)$. We need a 'metric' k_{ab} on the degree 1 part to construct ω. A P-structure ω of degree 2 is locally written as

$$\omega = \delta x^i \wedge \delta \xi_i + \frac{k_{ab}}{2} \delta q^a \wedge \delta q^b. \tag{4.31}$$

A general form of a Q-structure function is of degree 3 and

$$\Theta = {f_1}^i{}_a(x) \xi_i q^a + \frac{1}{3!} f_{2abc}(x) q^a q^b q^c, \tag{4.32}$$

where ${f_1}^i{}_a(x)$ and $f_{2abc}(x)$ are local functions of x. A Q-structure condition $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ impose the following relations on these functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& k^{ab} {f_1}^i{}_a {f_1}^j{}_b = 0, \\
& \frac{\partial {f_1}^i{}_b}{\partial x^j} {f_1}^j{}_c - \frac{\partial {f_1}^i{}_c}{\partial x^j} {f_1}^j{}_b + k^{ef} {f_1}^i{}_e f_{2fbc} = 0, \\
& \left({f_1}^i{}_d \frac{\partial f_{2abc}}{\partial x^i} - {f_1}^i{}_c \frac{\partial f_{2dab}}{\partial x^i} + {f_1}^i{}_b \frac{\partial f_{2cda}}{\partial x^i} - {f_1}^i{}_a \frac{\partial f_{2bcd}}{\partial x^i} \right) \\
& \qquad + k^{ef} (f_{2eab} f_{2cdf} + f_{2eac} f_{2dbf} + f_{2ead} f_{2bcf}) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

We can prove that these identities (4.33) is a local coordinate expression of a **Courant algebroid** on a vector bundle E.

Definition 4.6 *A Courant algebroid is a vector bundle $E \longrightarrow M$ and has a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot \, , \cdot \rangle$ on the bundle, a bilinear operation $\circ$ on $\Gamma(E)$, an a bundle map called an anchor map $\rho : E \longrightarrow TM$ satisfying the following properties:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1, \quad e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) = (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 + e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3), \\
& 2, \quad \rho(e_1 \circ e_2) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)], \\
& 3, \quad e_1 \circ F e_2 = F(e_1 \circ e_2) + (\rho(e_1) F) e_2, \\
& 4, \quad e_1 \circ e_2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D} \langle e_1 \, , e_2 \rangle, \\
& 5, \quad \rho(e_1) \langle e_2 \, , e_3 \rangle = \langle e_1 \circ e_2 \, , e_3 \rangle + \langle e_2 \, , e_1 \circ e_3 \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

where e_1, e_2 *and* e_3 *are sections of* E*,* F *is a function on* M*.* $\mathcal{D}$ *is a map from functions on* M *to* $\Gamma(E)$ *and is defined as* $\langle \mathcal{D}F\,, e\rangle = \rho(e)F$*.*

The following theorem has been proved in [102].

Theorem 4.7 *A QP-structure of degree* 2 *is equivalent to the Courant algebroid on* E*.*

Connection of the equation (4.32) to a Courant algebroid is a direct result of this theorem. Let x^i be a local coordinate on M and e^a be a local coordinate on the fiber of E. We can write each operation on the local basis x^i, e^a as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e^a \circ e_2 &= k^{ad}k^{be}f_{2dec}(x)e^c, \\ \langle e^a\,, e^b\rangle &= k^{ab}, \\ \rho(e^a)F(x) &= -k^{ab}{f_1}^i{}_b(x)\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where f_1 and f_2 are some local functions. Then substituting these expressions to the relations (4.34), we obtain the identities (4.33).

These operations are constructed from a QP manifold $\mathcal{M}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \circ e_2 &\equiv -\{\{e_1, \Theta\}, e_2\}, \\ \langle e_1\,, e_2\rangle &\equiv \{e_1, e_2\}, \\ \rho(e)F &\equiv \{e, \{\Theta, F\}\}, \\ \mathcal{D}(*) &\equiv \{\Theta, *\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

where x is of degree 0 and e is of degree 1. We can prove that $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ derives the Courant algebroid structure (4.34). E is recovered from a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ by a natural filtration of degree $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow E[1] \longrightarrow M$.

An important example of the Courant algebroids is the direct sum of tangent and cotangent bundles, $E = TM \oplus T^*M$. A bilinear operation is defined as $(X+\xi)\circ(Y+\eta) = [X+\xi, Y+\eta]_D = [X, Y] + L_X\eta - i_Y d\xi$. Here $X, Y \in TM$ are vector fields, $\xi, \eta \in T^*M$ are 1-forms, $[-, -]$ is an usual Lie bracket on vector fields, L_X is a Lie derivative and i_X is an interior product. This is called the (Courant-)Dorfman bracket, which is generally not antisymmetric. This is the most general bilinear form on $TM \oplus T^*M$ to satisfy the Jacobi identity. The antisymmetrization of the Dorfman bracket is called the Courant bracket. The Courant bracket is antisymmetric but do not satisfy the Jacobi identity. The symmetric form is $\langle X+\xi\,, Y+\eta\rangle = i_X\eta + i_Y\xi$ and the anchor map ρ is an usual differentiation with respect to a vector field.

A corresponding QP manifold is $\mathcal{M} = T^*[2]T^*[1]M$. Local Darboux coordinates (x^i, q^i, p_i, ξ_i) of degree $(0,1,1,2)$ are taken, where q^i is one of the fiber of $T[1]M$, p_i is one of the fiber of $T^*[1]M$ and ξ_i is one of the fiber of $T^*[2]M$. $TM \oplus T^*M$ is naturally embedded to $T^*[2]T^*[1]M$ as $(x^i, dx^i, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, 0) \mapsto (x^i, q^i, p_i, \xi_i)$ with degree shifting. The Courant algebroid structure on $TM \oplus T^*M$ is constructed from the equations (4.35). The Courant-Dorfman bracket is derived by the derived bracket $\{\{-, \Theta\}, -\}$ such that ${f_1}^i{}_j = \delta^i{}_j$ and $f_{2ijk} = 0$.

A closed 3-form $H(x)$ is introduced as an extra datum. The Courant-Dorfman bracket is modified by $H(x)$ to preserve the Courant algebroid structure as $(X+\xi)\circ(Y+\eta) = [X+\xi, Y+\eta]_{DH} = [X,Y] + L_X\eta - i_Y d\xi + i_X i_Y H$, called the twisted Dorfman bracket. Then a P-structure is the same and Θ is modified as $\Theta = \xi_i q^i + \frac{1}{3!}H_{ijk}(x) q^i q^j q^k$, where $H(x) = \frac{1}{3!}H_{ijk}(x) dx^i dx^j dx^k$.

There is an another equivalent definition of the Courant algebroid [79], which is more closed to construction from a QP manifold.

Definition 4.8 *Let E be a vector bundle over M equipped with a pseudo-Euclidean metric $(-,-)$, a bundle map $\rho : E \longrightarrow TM$ and a binary bracket $[-,-]_D$ on $\Gamma(E)$. The bundle is called the Courant algebroid if the three conditions are satisfied,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
[e_1, [e_2, e_3]_D]_D &= [[e_1, e_2]_D, e_3]_D + [e_2, [e_1, e_3]_D]_D, && (4.36)\\
\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3) &= ([e_1, e_2]_D, e_3) + (e_2, [e_1, e_3]_D), && (4.37)\\
\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3) &= (e_1, [e_2, e_3]_D + [e_3, e_2]_D), && (4.38)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma E$.

We can prove that two definitions 4.6 and 4.8 are equivalent if operations are identified as $e_1 \circ e_2 = [e_1, e_2]_D$, $\langle e_1\,, e_2\rangle = (e_1, e_2)$ and the same ρ's.

The Dirac structure is a substructure of the Courant algebroid.

Definition 4.9 *The* ***Dirac structure*** *$\mathcal{L}$ is a maximally isotropic subbundle of the Courant algebroid E, whose sections are closed under the Courant-Dorfman bracket.*

$$\langle e_1\,, e_2\rangle = 0 \quad (\textit{isotropic}),$$

$$[e_1, e_2]_C \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L}) \quad (\textit{close}),$$

for $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$*, where* $[e_1, e_2]_C = [e_1, e_2]_D - [e_2, e_1]_D$ *is the Courant bracket.*

The sections $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet E)$ are regarded as functions on a QP manifold $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. From the equation (4.35), the sections of the Dirac structure $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ are the set of functions commutative under the P-structure $\{-,-\}$ and closed under the derived bracket $\{\{-,\Theta\},-\}$.

The complexification of the Dirac structure on $(TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ defines the generalized complex structure [53][47].

4.2.3 $n \geq 3$

We define algebraic and geometric structures which appear in $n \geq 3$.

Definition 4.10 *A vector bundle* $(E, \rho, [-,-]_L)$ *is called an **algebroid** if there is a bilinear operation* $[-,-]_L : \Gamma E \times \Gamma E \to \Gamma E$*, and a bundle map* $\rho : E \to TM$ *satisfying the conditions below:*

$$\rho[e_1, e_2]_L = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)], \tag{4.39}$$

$$[e_1, Fe_2]_L = F[e_1, e_2]_L + \rho(e_1)(F)e_2, \tag{4.40}$$

where $F \in C^\infty(M)$ *and* $[\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)]$ *is the usual Lie bracket on* $\Gamma(TM)$*. Note that* $[-,-]_L$ *need not antisymmetric or not to satisfy the Jacobi identity.* ρ *is called an **anchor map**.*

Definition 4.11 *An algebroid* $(E, \rho, [-,-]_L)$ *is called a **Leibniz algebroid** or a **Loday algebroid** if there is a bracket product* $[e_1, e_2]_L$ *satisfying the Leibniz identity:*

$$[e_1, [e_2, e_3]_L]_L = [[e_1, e_2]_L, e_3]_L + [e_2, [e_1, e_3]_L]_L, \tag{4.41}$$

where $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma E$*.*

Note that $[-,-]_L$ is not assumed antisymmetric. The equation (4.41) is called the **Leibniz identity** instead of the Jacobi identity for an antisymmetric bracket.

We can find that Lie algebroids and the Courant algebroids are Leibniz algebroids. The Lie bracket $[-,-]$ in the Lie algebroid and The Dorfman bracket $[-,-]_D$ in the Courant algebroid are identified to the Leibniz bracket $[-,-]_L$. The equation (4.36) on the Dorfman bracket is equivalent to the equation (4.41).

If a base manifold is a point $M = \{pt\}$ and $\rho = 0$, a Leibniz algebroid reduces to a linear algebra, which is called a Leibniz(Loday) algebra [90][89]. A Leibniz algebra is a Lie algebra if a Leibniz bracket $[-,-]_L$ is antisymmetric.

Correspondence of a Leibniz algebroid to a homological vector field on a graded manifold is discussed in [43]. The following theorem has appeared in [83].

Theorem 4.12 *Let $n > 1$. Functions of degree $n-1$ on a QP manifold can be identified as sections of a vector bundle E. The QP-structure induces a Leibniz algebroid structure on E.*

Let x be an element of degree 0 and $e^{(n-1)}$ be an element of degree $n-1$. If we define

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2]_L &= -\{\{e_1^{(n-1)}, \Theta\}, e_2^{(n-1)}\}, && (4.42) \\ \rho(e)F(x) &= (-1)^n \{\{e^{(n-1)}, \Theta\}, F(x)\}, && (4.43) \end{aligned}$$

$[-,-]_L$ and ρ satisfy the definition of a Leibniz algebroid (4.39), (4.40) and (4.41).

Example 4.13 ($n = 3$.) Take $n = 3$. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, \Theta)$ be a QP manifold of degree 3. $\mathcal{M}$ has a natural filtration of degree $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow M$, where $\mathcal{M}_i (i = 1, 2)$ is a graded subspace of degree less than and equal to i. Local coordinates are (x^i, q^a, p_a, ξ_i) of degree $(0, 1, 2, 3)$. A P-structure ω is an odd symplectic form of degree 3 and is locally written as

$$\omega = \delta x^i \wedge \delta \xi_i + \delta q^a \wedge \delta p_a. \tag{4.44}$$

Since a Q-structure function is of degree 4, a general form is

$$\Theta = {f_1}^i{}_a(x)\xi_i q^a + \frac{1}{2}{f_2}^{ab}(x)p_a p_b + \frac{1}{2}{f_3}^a{}_{bc}(x)p_a q^b q^c + \frac{1}{4!}f_{4abcd}(x)q^a q^b q^c q^d, \tag{4.45}$$

where f_i's are functions of x. A Q-structure condition $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ imposes the following relations on these functions:

$$\begin{aligned} & {f_1}^i{}_b {f_2}^{ba} = 0, && (4.46) \\ & {f_1}^k{}_c \frac{\partial {f_2}^{ab}}{\partial x^k} + {f_2}^{da} {f_3}^b{}_{cd} + {f_2}^{db} {f_3}^a{}_{cd} = 0, && (4.47) \\ & {f_1}^k{}_b \frac{\partial {f_1}^i{}_a}{\partial x^k} - {f_1}^k{}_a \frac{\partial {f_1}^i{}_b}{\partial x^k} + {f_1}^i{}_c {f_3}^c{}_{ab} = 0, && (4.48) \\ & {f_1}^k{}_{[d} \frac{\partial {f_3}^a{}_{bc]}}{\partial x^k} + {f_2}^{ae} f_{4bcde} - {f_3}^a{}_{e[b} {f_3}^e{}_{cd]} = 0, && (4.49) \\ & {f_1}^k{}_{[a} \frac{\partial f_{4bcde]}}{\partial x^k} + {f_3}^f{}_{[ab} f_{4cde]f} = 0, && (4.50) \end{aligned}$$

where $[a\ b\ c\ d\ \cdots]$ is a antisymmetrization with respect to indices $a, b, c, d, \cdots$, etc. These identities (4.46)–(4.50) define the Lie algebroid up to homotopy (the splittable H-twisted Lie algebroid) on the vector bundle E [68]. This is a subalgebroid of the H-twisted Lie algebroid [46].

Example 4.14 (Higher Courant-Dorfman Bracket.) Let E be a vector bundle on M and a graded manifold of degree n, $\mathcal{M} = T^*[n]E[1]$. Let $n \geq 4$. Let us take a local coordinate (x^i, q^a, p_a, ξ_i) of degree $(0, 1, n-1, n)$. A QP structure is defined on $\mathcal{M} = T^*[n]E[1]$. A P-structure ω is a graded form of degree n and is locally written as

$$\omega = \delta x^i \wedge \delta \xi_i + \delta q^a \wedge \delta p_a. \tag{4.51}$$

A general form of a Q-structure function is of degree $n+1$ and

$$\Theta = {f_1}^i{}_a(x) \xi_i q^a + \frac{1}{2} {f_2}^a{}_{bc}(x) p_a q^b q^c + \frac{1}{(n+1)!} f_{3 a_1 \cdots a_{n+1}}(x) q^{a_1} q^{a_2} \cdots q^{a_{n+1}}, \tag{4.52}$$

where f_i's are functions. A Q-structure condition $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ impose the following relations:

$${f_1}^k{}_b \frac{\partial {f_1}^i{}_a}{\partial x^k} - {f_1}^k{}_a \frac{\partial {f_1}^i{}_b}{\partial x^k} + {f_1}^i{}_c {f_2}^c{}_{ab} = 0, \tag{4.53}$$

$${f_1}^k{}_{[d} \frac{\partial {f_2}^a{}_{bc]}}{\partial x^k} - {f_2}^a{}_{e[b} {f_2}^e{}_{cd]} = 0, \tag{4.54}$$

$${f_1}^k{}_{[a_1} \frac{\partial f_{3 a_2 \cdots a_{n+2}]}}{\partial x^k} + {f_2}^f{}_{[a_1 a_2} f_{3 a_3 \cdots a_{n+2}] f} = 0. \tag{4.55}$$

A vector bundle $E \oplus \wedge^{n-1} E^*$ is naturally embedded to $T^*[n]E[1]$ by degree shifting. The QP structure induces an algebroid structure on $E \oplus \wedge^{n-1} E^*$ by the derived bracket $[-, -]_{CD} = \{\{-, \Theta\}, -\}$, which is called the higher Courant-Dorfman bracket. This has the following form,

$$[u + \alpha, v + \beta]_{CD} = [u, v] + L_u \beta - i_v d\alpha + H(u, v), \tag{4.56}$$

where $u, v \in \Gamma(E)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1} E^*)$ and H is a closed $(n+1)$-form on E. We refer the reader to [48], [126], [12] for detailed studies of the bracket of this type. Graded manifold description has been analyzed in [130].

Example 4.15 A large class of nontrivial nonassociative algebras(algebroids) are included in a QP manifold of degree n. We show one of examples. We define Θ as

$$\Theta = \Theta_0 + \Theta_3 + \Theta_4 + \cdots + \Theta_n,$$

where Θ_0 is

$$\Theta_0 = {f_0}^{a(0)}{}_{b(1)}(x)\xi_{a(0)}q^{b(1)}$$

and Θ_i is

$$\frac{1}{i!}f_{ia(n-i+1)b_1(1)b_i(1)}(x)q^{a(n-i+1)}q^{b_1(1)}\cdots q^{b_i(1)},$$

where $(x^{a(0)}, q^{a_1(1)}, \cdots, q^{a(n-1)}, \xi_{a(0)})$ has degree $(0, 1, \cdots, n-1, n)$. In particular, Θ_n is an $(n+1)$-form on $\Gamma(\bigwedge^{n+1} E_1)$. Then the master equation $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\Theta_0, \Theta_0\} &= 0, \\
\{\Theta_0, \Theta_i\} &= 0, \quad i < n
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\Theta_0, \Theta_n\} + \sum \{\Theta_i, \Theta_{n-i}\} &= 0, \quad n = odd \\
\{\Theta_0, \Theta_n\} + \frac{1}{2}\{\Theta_{n/2}, \Theta_{n/2}\} + \sum \{\Theta_i, \Theta_{n-i}\} &= 0, \quad n = even.
\end{aligned}$$

The first condition implies that $d := \{\Theta_0, -\}$ is a differential and the second one implies that Θ_i is a closed i-form for each $i < n$. The third conditions say us that Θ_n is closed $(n+1)$-form up to homotopy [120].

5 AKSZ Construction of Topological Field Theory

Superfield formalisms of topological field theories in section 2 and 3 are reformulated to the AKSZ construction. If a QP-manifold on a target graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is given, a QP structure is induced on a mapping space from a world volume graded manifolds $\mathcal{X}$ to a target graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ [3][27][104].

Let $(\mathcal{X}, D)$ be a differential graded (dg) manifold $\mathcal{X}$ with a D-invariant nondegenerate measure μ, where D is a differential on $\mathcal{X}$. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, Q)$ be a QP-manifold of degree n. ω is a graded symplectic form of degree n and $Q = \{\Theta, -\}$ is a differential on $\mathcal{M}$. $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is a space of smooth maps from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. A QP-structure on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is constructed from the above data.

Since $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ naturally acts on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$, D and Q induce differentials on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$, $\hat{D}$ and $\check{Q}$. Explicitly, $\hat{D}(z, f) = df(z)D(z)$ and $\check{Q}(z, f) = Qf(z)$, for $z \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}}$.

Two maps are introduced. An *evaluation map* $\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{ev} : (z, f) \longmapsto f(z),$$

where $z \in \mathcal{X}$ and $F \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}}$.

A *chain map* $\mu_* : \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}})$ is defined as

$$\mu_* \omega(y)(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(x) \omega(x, y)(v_1, \ldots, v_k)$$

where v is a vector field on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu$ is the integration on $\mathcal{X}$. It is an usual integral for even degree part and the Berezin integral for odd degree part.

A **P-structure** on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is defined as follows:

Definition 5.1 *For a graded symplectic form* ω *on* $\mathcal{M}$*, a graded symplectic form* $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ *on* $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ *is defined as* $\boldsymbol{\omega} := \mu_* \mathrm{ev}^* \omega$.

$\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is nondegenerate and closed because $\mu_* \mathrm{ev}^*$ preserves nondegeneracy and closedness. $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is a graded symplectic form on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ and induces a graded Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$, which is a BV antibracket, on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$.

Next a **Q-structure** S on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is constructed. S corresponds to a *BV action* and consists of two parts $S = S_0 + S_1$. We take a fundamental form ϑ for a P-structure on $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\omega = -d\vartheta$ and define $S_0 := \iota_{\hat{D}} \mu_* \mathrm{ev}^* \vartheta$, which is equal to $S^{(0)}$ in section 2 [g] S_1 is constructed as follows: We take a Q-structure Θ on $\mathcal{M}$ and define $S_1 := \mu_* \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta$.

We can prove that S is a Q-structure on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$:

$$\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \{S, S\} = 0. \tag{5.57}$$

from definitions of S_0 and S_1. The right hand side in this equation is the classical master equation in the BV formalism. A homological vector field $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is defined as $\boldsymbol{Q} = \{S, -\}$. $|\boldsymbol{Q}| = 1$ from degree of $\{-, -\}$ and S. $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is a coboundary operator $\boldsymbol{Q}^2 = 0$ from the classical master equation. A cohomology defined by $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is called a BRST cohomology. Since $\{S_0, S_0\} = 0$, S_0 is considered a 'differential'. S_1 is considered as a 'connection'. The classical master equation $\{S, S\} = 2\delta_0 S_1 + \{S_1, S_1\} = 0$ is a flatness condition, the Maurer-Cartan equation.

The following theorem has been proved [3]:

---

[g] In the rest of the paper, S_0 is used for $S^{(0)}$.

Theorem 5.2 *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a dg manifold with a compatible measure and $\mathcal{M}$ is a QP-manifold, the graded manifold* $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ *has a QP structure.*

A QP structure on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is a topological field theory in our context.

Let X be a smooth manifold in $n+1$ dimensions. A graded manifold $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$ has a Berezin measure μ of degree $-n-1$ induced from a measure on X. We find that topological field theories in previous sections are constructed by the AKSZ construction on $T[1]X$. If $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$, it is proved that a QP-structure on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is equivalent to the BV formalism of a topological field theory [27][59]. We can prove that this theory is gauge invariant and unitary in physical discussion, thus it defines a consistent quantum field theory.

Definition 5.3 *An* ***AKSZ topological field theory*** *in $n+1$ dimensions is a triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}, S)$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is a dg manifold $T[1]X$. $\mathcal{M}$ is a QP-manifold of degree n.*

$\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is a QP manifold of degree -1, therefore an odd symplectic manifold, because of a measure of degree $-n-1$ on $\mathcal{X}$ and a QP structure on $\mathcal{M}$ of degree n. A graded Poisson bracket $\{-,-\}$ is of degree 1 and S is of degree zero.

The AKSZ formalism can be generalized to realize the BFV formalism if X is in n dimensions and $\mathcal{X}$ has a measure of degree $-n$ [34]. Then the AKSZ construction defines a QP structure of degree 0 on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$. Its P-structure is an usual Poisson bracket and Θ is a BRST charge.

In order to quantize the theory in the BV formalism, the classical master equation (5.57) must be modified to the **quantum master equation**. An odd Laplace operator Δ on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ can be constructed if $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ has a measure $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ [71][72][73]. It is defined as

$$\Delta F = \frac{(-1)^{|F|}}{2} \mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} X_F, \tag{5.58}$$

where $F \in C^{\infty}(\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}))$ and X_F is a Hamiltonian vector field of F. Here the divergence div is defined as $\int_{\mathcal{M}} \boldsymbol{\rho}\ X(F) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \boldsymbol{\rho}\ \mathrm{div}_{\rho} X_F$ for $F \in C^{\infty}(\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}))$. If an odd Laplace operator is given, an odd Poisson bracket is constructed by the derived bracket:

$$\{F, G\} := -(-1)^{|F|}[[\Delta, F], G](1).$$

The classical master equation is modified to

$$\Delta(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_q}) = 0,$$

where S_q is a quantum BV action. This equation is equivalent to the quantum master equation:

$$-2i\hbar\Delta S_q + \{S_q, S_q\} = 0. \tag{5.59}$$

The above definition of an odd Laplace operator Δ is formal because $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is infinite dimensions. A naive measure $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ has a divergence and needs a regularization. Moreover even if a graded manifold is in finite dimensions, the solutions of the quantum master equation have obstructions depending on topological property of a manifold. The readers should refer to [17] [15] [29] for analysis of obstructions of quantum master equation related to the odd Laplace operator in AKSZ theories.

6 Deformation Theory

In this section, we apply deformation theory in the AKSZ formalism of TFTs and determine a general consistent local BV action S. This method is also called homological perturbation theory.

First we consider $S = S_0$. In fact, $S_0 = S^{(0)}$ is determined from only the P-structure and trivially satisfies the classical master equation $\{S_0, S_0\} = 0$. Next we deform S_0 to

$$S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g^n S^{(n)} = S^{(0)} + gS^{(1)} + g^2 S^{(2)} + \cdots, \tag{6.60}$$

in order to obtain the consistent S_1 term, where g is a deformation parameter. S is required to satisfy the classical master equation $\{S, S\} = 0$ in order to be a Q-structure.

A deformation S' is equivalent to S if there exist a local redefinition of superfields $\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)} \mapsto \boldsymbol{e}'^{a(i)} = F(\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)})$ satisfying $S'(\boldsymbol{e}'^{a(i)}) = S(\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)})$, where F is a function on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$. If we expand $\boldsymbol{e}'^{a(i)} = \sum_m g^m F^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)})$, $S(\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)}) = S'(\boldsymbol{e}'^{a(i)}) = S'(\sum_m g^m F^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)})) = S'(\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)}) + g\frac{\delta S'(\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)})}{\delta \boldsymbol{e}^{b(j)}} F^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{e}^{b(j)}) + \cdots$, which derives the BRST exact term:

$$S' - S = \pm g\boldsymbol{Q}'\left(\int d\boldsymbol{e}\ F^{(1)}\right) \tag{6.61}$$

at the first order of g. It is proved that higher order terms are absorbed to the higher deformation terms up to exact terms in this case. Therefore it is defined that S is equivalent to S_0 if a deformation is exact $S = S_0 + \delta(*)$. We calculate a $\boldsymbol{Q}$ cohomology class S.

If we substitute (6.60) to $\{S, S\} = 0$ and expand the equation by g, a series of the equations are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{S^{(0)}, S^{(0)}\} = 0, \\
&\{S^{(0)}, S^{(1)}\} = 0, \\
&2\{S^{(0)}, S^{(2)}\} + \{S^{(1)}, S^{(1)}\} = 0, \\
&\cdots .
\end{aligned} \tag{6.62}$$

The first equation is satisfied from the definition. The second equation is $\boldsymbol{Q}_0 S^{(1)} = 0$. Therefore $S^{(1)}$ is a cocycle of $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$.

The third equation $2\{S^{(0)}, S^{(2)}\} + \{S^{(1)}, S^{(1)}\} = 0$ is an obstruction. $S^{(2)}$ is $S^{(2)} = \mu_* \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta^{(2)}$, where $\Theta^{(2)} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. Since $\{S_0, \boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)}\} = \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)}$ for all superfields $\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)}$, $\{S^{(0)}, S^{(2)}\} = \boldsymbol{Q}_0 S^{(2)} = \boldsymbol{Q}_0 \mu_* \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta^{(2)} = 0$ if $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \boldsymbol{d}(*) = 0$ satisfies. Therefore if we assume there is no boundary contribution on $\mathcal{X}$, each term is zero: $\{S^{(0)}, S^{(2)}\} = 0$, $\{S^{(1)}, S^{(1)}\} = 0$.

From $\{S^{(0)}, S^{(2)}\} = 0$, we can absorb $S^{(2)}$ to $S^{(1)}$ by redefining as $\tilde{S}^{(1)} = S^{(1)} + g S^{(2)}$ and $\{S^{(0)}, \tilde{S}^{(1)}\} = 0$. Repeating this process, we obtain $S = S_0 + S_1$, where $S_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g^n S^{(n)}$ and S_1 is an element of the cohomology class of $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$, $\{S^{(0)}, S_1\} = 0$, and $\{S_1, S_1\} = 0$.

Lemma 6.1 *Denote* $S_1 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \, \mathcal{L}_1$. *If* $\mathcal{L}_1$ *contains* $\boldsymbol{d}$, $\mathcal{L}_1$ *is* $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$*-exact.*

Proof It is sufficient to prove the lemma under the assumption that $\mathcal{L}_1$ is a monomial. Assume that $\mathcal{L}_1$ contains at least one derivative, $\mathcal{L}_1(\boldsymbol{e}) = F(\boldsymbol{e}) \boldsymbol{d} G(\boldsymbol{e})$, where $F(\boldsymbol{e})$ and $G(\boldsymbol{e})$ are functions of superfields. F and G are expanded to component superfields by the number of the odd super coordinates θ as $F(\boldsymbol{e}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} F_i$ and $G(\boldsymbol{e}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} G_i$, where F_i and G_i are terms of i-th powers of θ. From $\boldsymbol{Q}_0 F = \boldsymbol{d} F$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_0 G = \boldsymbol{d} G$, we obtain the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{Q}_0 F_0 = 0, \\
&\boldsymbol{Q}_0 F_i = \boldsymbol{d} F_{i-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n+1, \\
&\boldsymbol{d} F_{n+1} = 0, \\
&\boldsymbol{Q}_0 G_0 = 0, \\
&\boldsymbol{Q}_0 G_i = \boldsymbol{d} G_{i-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n+1, \\
&\boldsymbol{d} G_{n+1} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.63}$$

For $S_1 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \mathcal{L}_1(\boldsymbol{e}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \int_X \mu F_{n-i} \boldsymbol{d} G_i$, adjusting two terms are combined to the $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$-exact term up to a $\boldsymbol{d}$-exact terms from the equation (6.63) as

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{n-i} \boldsymbol{d} G_i + F_{n-i-1} \boldsymbol{d} G_{i+1} \\ & = (-1)^{n-i} \boldsymbol{Q}_0 (F_{n-i} G_{i+1}) - (-1)^{n-i} \boldsymbol{d} (F_{n-i-1} G_{i+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{6.64}$$

for even i.

If n is odd, $S_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \int_X F_{n-i-1} \boldsymbol{d} G_i$ has even numbers of terms, therefore if each of two terms is combined as (6.64), it is proved that the integration S_1 is $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$-exact. If n is even, the last term $F_0 \boldsymbol{d} G_n$ remains. This term is $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$-exact itself because $F_0 \boldsymbol{d} G_n = \boldsymbol{Q}_0(F_0 G_{n+1})$. Therefore S_1 is also $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$-exact.

From this Lemma 6.1, S_1 does not include $\boldsymbol{d}$ up to exact terms. The following theorem has been proved:

Theorem 6.2 *Assume there is no boundary term, that is,* $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu\ \boldsymbol{d}(*) = 0$. *If and only if* $\{S, S\} = 0$, $S^{(1)}$ *is a* Q_0*-cohomology class such that* $\{S^{(1)}, S^{(1)}\} = 0$, *and* $S^{(n)} = 0$ *for* $n \geq 2$. *If* $S_1 = gS^{(1)}$ *is the integration of a local Lagrangian* $\mathcal{L}_1(\boldsymbol{e})$, *that is* $S_1 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu\ \mathcal{L}_1(\boldsymbol{e})$, *then* $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{e})$ *is a function of degree* $n+1$ *of superfields* $\boldsymbol{e}$ *which does not contain the superderivative* $\boldsymbol{d}$.

There is an other AKSZ TFT which action is not included in this theorem. If our assumptions in the theorem (6.2) are relaxed, we have more general AKSZ TFTs such as the WZ-Poisson sigma model with a WZ term and topological sigma models with a degenerate P-structure like the Dirac sigma model.

7 Local Coordinate Expression

In this section, we give local coordinate expressions for S_1 constructed in the previous section.

Let us take a manifold X in $n+1$ dimensions and a manifold M in d dimensions. Take a graded manifolds $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$, and a QP manifold $\mathcal{M}$ such that its degree zero part is a smooth manifold $\mathcal{M}_0 = M$. Let $\mathcal{M}^{(i)}$ be a degree i part of $\mathcal{M}$. Let (σ^μ, θ^μ) be a local coordinate on $T[1]X$. σ^μ is a local coordinate of degree 0 on the base manifold X and θ^μ is one of degree 1 on the fiber of $T[1]X$, respectively. Let $\boldsymbol{x}^{a(0)}$ be a smooth map $\boldsymbol{x}^{a(0)} : X \longrightarrow M$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{a(0)}$

be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathcal{M}^{(n)})$. $\boldsymbol{x}^{a(0)}$ is also denoted by $\boldsymbol{e}^{a(0)} = \boldsymbol{x}^{a(0)} = \boldsymbol{q}^{a(0)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{a(0)}$ is by $\boldsymbol{e}_{a(n)} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{a(0)} = \boldsymbol{p}_{a(0)}$. Superfields $\boldsymbol{e}^{a(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ has of degree i and is a basis of a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathcal{M}^{(i)})$. Darboux coordinate superfields with respect to the P-structure are $\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_{a(n-i)}$ for $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor < i \leq n$, where $\lfloor m \rfloor$ is the floor function which gives the largest integer less than or equal to m. Then the P-structure is

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\omega} &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{e}_{a(i)} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a(i)b(j)} \delta \boldsymbol{e}_{b(i)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ \delta \boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)} \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.65}$$

If n =even, $\boldsymbol{p}_{a(n/2)}$ is identified to $k_{ab}\boldsymbol{q}^{b(n/2)}$ and the degree $n/2$ part is

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{q}^{a(n/2)} k_{ab} \delta \boldsymbol{q}^{b(n/2)} \right),$$

where k_{ab} is a fiber metric on the degree $\frac{n}{2}$ part. This defines the graded Poisson bracket such that

$$\{\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)}(\sigma, \theta), \boldsymbol{p}_{b(j)}(\sigma', \theta')\} = \delta^i{}_j \delta^{a(i)}{}_{b(j)} \delta^{n+1}(\sigma - \sigma') \delta^{n+1}(\theta - \theta'),$$

and if n =even and $i = j = n/2$,

$$\{\boldsymbol{q}^{a(n/2)}(\sigma, \theta), \boldsymbol{q}^{b(n/2)}(\sigma', \theta')\} = k^{a(n/2)b(n/2)} \delta^{n+1}(\sigma - \sigma') \delta^{n+1}(\theta - \theta').$$

A differential D on a dg manifold $\mathcal{X}$ is induced from the exterior derivative d on X. This derives a super differential $\boldsymbol{d} = \theta^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^\mu}$ on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$.

Let us consider a Q-structures S. S_0 is determined from a P-structure. If n =odd, it is

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{a(i)} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{a(i)b(j)} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{e}_{b(j)} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ \sum_{0 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2} (-1)^{n+1-i} \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ \left((-1)^{n+1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{a(0)} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{x}^{a(0)} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2} (-1)^{n+1-i} \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.66}$$

and if n =even,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_0 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{a(i)} \omega^{a(i)b(j)} \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{e}_{b(i)} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ \left(\sum_{0 \le i \le (n-2)/2} (-1)^{n+1-i} \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)} \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)} + (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} k_{a(n/2)b(n/2)} \boldsymbol{q}^{a(n/2)} \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^{b(n/2)} \right) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ ((-1)^{n+1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{a(0)} \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^{a(0)} + \sum_{1 \le i \le (n-2)/2} (-1)^{n+1-i} \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)} \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)} \\
&\qquad + (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} k_{a(n/2)b(n/2)} \boldsymbol{q}^{a(n/2)} \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^{b(n/2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

If we define $\boldsymbol{p}_{a(n/2)} = k_{a(n/2)b(n/2)} \boldsymbol{q}^{a(n/2)}$, S_0's for odd and even n are unified to

$$\begin{aligned}
S_0 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{a(i)} \omega^{a(i)b(j)} \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{e}_{b(i)} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ \left(\sum_{0 \le i \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{n+1-i} \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)} \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If components of nonzero ghost number are set to zero in superfields, we obtain a kinetic term of a BF theory for general k-forms:

$$S_A = \sum_{0 \le i \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{1}{i!(n-i)!} \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma \ (-1)^{n+1-i} \epsilon^{\mu(0)\cdots\mu(n)} p^{(n-i)}_{a(i),\mu(i+1)\cdots\mu(n)} \partial_{\mu(i)} q^{(i),a(i)}_{\mu(0)\cdots\mu(i-1)}.$$

A superfield of degree i, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\sigma, \theta)$, is expanded by θ^μ as

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\sigma, \theta) = \sum_{k,\mu(k)} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(k)}(\sigma, \theta) = \sum_{k,\mu(k)} \frac{1}{k!} \theta^{\mu(1)} \cdots \theta^{\mu(k)} \Phi^{(k)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma),$$

where $\Phi^{(k)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$ depends only on σ^μ. Since θ^μ has degree one, $\Phi^{(k)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$ has degree $i-k$, which is the same as *ghost number* in physical context. $\Phi^{(k)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$'s are classified by their ghost numbers. If $\Phi^{(k)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$ has degree 0, it is a physical field, which is a k-th order antisymmetric tensor field. If $\Phi^{(k)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$ has positive degree, it is a ghost field or its ghost for ghost which come from gauge parameters of gauge symmetries. If $\Phi^{(k)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$ has negative degree, it is the Hodge dual of an antifield introduced in the BV formalism.

Let us consider expansions $\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)}(\sigma, \theta) = \sum_{k,\mu(k)} \frac{1}{k!} \theta^{\mu(1)} \cdots \theta^{\mu(k)} q^{(k),a(i)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)}(\sigma, \theta) = \sum_{k,\mu(k)} \frac{1}{k!} \theta^{\mu(1)} \cdots \theta^{\mu(k)} p^{(k)}_{a(i),\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$. The antifield for the ghost $q^{(k),a(i)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$ for $i-k > 0$ is $p^{(n+1-k)}_{a(i),\mu(1)\cdots\mu(n+1-k)}(\sigma)$, and the antifield for the ghost $p^{(k),a(i)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$ for $k-i > 0$ is $q^{(n+1-k)}_{a(i),\mu(1)\cdots\mu(n+1-k)}(\sigma)$.

A superfield of degree $i = n/2$ is a self conjugate superfield $\boldsymbol{q}_{a(n/2)}(\sigma, \theta) = \sum_{k,\mu(k)} \frac{1}{k!}\theta^{\mu(1)} \cdots \theta^{\mu(k)} q^{(k)}_{a(n/2),\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$. If n is even, the antifield $q^{(k),a(n/2)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma)$ for $k \leq n/2$ is $q^{(n+1-k),a(n/2)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(n+1-k)}(\sigma)$, which is contained in the same superfield.

The interaction term S_1 has been determined in the theorem 6.2 in section 4. The local coordinate expressions of S_1 are following. An integrand is arbitrary functions of superfields of degree $n + 1$:

$$S_1 \quad = \sum_{\lambda, a(\lambda), |\lambda| = n+1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \left(f_{\lambda, a(\lambda_1)\cdots a(\lambda_m)}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{e}^{a(\lambda_1)} \boldsymbol{e}^{a(\lambda_2)} \cdots \boldsymbol{e}^{a(\lambda_m)} \right),$$

where $f_{\lambda, a(\lambda_1)\cdots a(\lambda_m)}(\boldsymbol{x})$'s are local structure functions of $\boldsymbol{x}$. The consistency condition $\{S_1, S_1\} = 0$ imposes on the algebraic identities on $f_{\lambda, a(\lambda_1)\cdots a(\lambda_m)}(\boldsymbol{x})$'s. Since $S_1 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta$, this is equivalent to $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ and this determines the mathematical structure on the target space. Then the consistent local expressions for the AKSZ TFTs in $n + 1$ dimensions are obtained.

Let $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \rho_v d^{n+1}\boldsymbol{q} d^{n+1}\boldsymbol{p}$ be a volume form on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$. An odd Laplace operator,

$$\Delta F = \frac{(-1)^{|F|}}{2} \mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} X_F, \tag{7.67}$$

is written by

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)}} + \frac{1}{2}\{\ln \rho_v, -\}, \tag{7.68}$$

If we take coordinates with $\rho_v = 1$, a simple expression is obtained:

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)}}. \tag{7.69}$$

8 Examples

Some important examples are explained.

Example 8.1 (The Poisson Sigma Model.) We take $n = 1$. Example 4.3 has showed that a QP-structure of degree 1 on $\mathcal{M} = T^*[1]M$ is equivalent to a Poisson structure on M. Let X be a manifold in two dimensions and $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$. The AKSZ construction defines a TFT on $\mathrm{Map}(T[1]X, T^*[1]M)$.

Let $\boldsymbol{x}^i$ be a map from $T[1]X$ to M and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(T^*[1]M)$. Here we denote $a(0) = i, b(0) = j, \cdots$, etc. A P-structure on $\mathrm{Map}(T[1]X, T^*[1]M)$ is

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \;=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \; \delta \boldsymbol{x}^i \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_i.$$

The BV action (Q-structure) is

$$S \;=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \; \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i + \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{\xi}_j \right).$$

This action is the superfield BV formalism of the Poisson sigma model. A Q-structure condition is equivalent to the equation (4.29) on $f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x})$. This model is equivalent to A model if a Poisson structure is nondegenerate.

Take $M = \mathfrak{g}^*$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semi-simple Lie algebra. Then $\mathcal{M} = T^*[1]\mathfrak{g}^*$ and a Q-structure reduces to $\Theta = \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}{}_k x^k \xi_i \xi_j$, where $f^{ij}{}_k$ is a structure constant of the Lie algebra. The AKSZ construction derives the BV action

$$S \;=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \; \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i + \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}{}_k \boldsymbol{x}^k \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{\xi}_j \right),$$

which is a BV formalism of nonabelian BF theory in two dimensions.

Example 8.2 (B Model.) Let X be a Riemann surface and M be a complex manifold. Let us consider a graded manifold $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$ and a QP manifold $\mathcal{M} = T^*[1]T[1]M$ in Example 4.4. The QP manifold realizes the complex structure. The AKSZ construction in $n = 1$ induces a TFT on $\mathrm{Map}(T[1]X, T^*[1]T[1]M)$.

Let $\boldsymbol{x}$ be $\boldsymbol{x} : T[1]X \longrightarrow M$, $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(T^*[1]M)$, $\boldsymbol{q}$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(T[1]M)$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(T^*[0]M)$. A superfield expression of a P-structure is

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \;=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \; (\delta \boldsymbol{x}^i \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_i + \delta \boldsymbol{q}^i \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{p}_i).$$

A Q-structure BV action is

$$\begin{aligned} S_B \;&=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i - \boldsymbol{p}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^i + J^i{}_j(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{q}^j + \frac{\partial J^i{}_k}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^j}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}_i \boldsymbol{q}^j \boldsymbol{q}^k \right) \\ &=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \left[(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \;\, \boldsymbol{q}^i)\, \boldsymbol{d} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}^i \\ \boldsymbol{p}_i \end{pmatrix} + (\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \;\, \boldsymbol{q}^i) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} J^i{}_j(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ -\frac{1}{2} J^j{}_i(\boldsymbol{x}) & \frac{\partial J^i{}_k}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^j}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}_j \\ \boldsymbol{q}^j \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proper gauge fixing of this action describes so called B model action in a topological string [3][67].

Example 8.3 (The Courant Sigma Model.) We consider $n = 2$ case. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a QP-manifold of degree 2 and has the Courant algebroid structure discussed in Example 4.5. We take a three dimensional manifold X and consider $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$ as a world volume of the AKSZ construction. Let $\boldsymbol{x}^i$ be a map from $T[1]X$ to $M = \mathcal{M}^{(0)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathcal{M}^{(2)})$. Let $\boldsymbol{q}^a$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ and k_{ab} be a fiber metric on $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}$. Here we denote $a(0) = i, b(0) = j, \cdots$ and $a(1) = a, b(1) = b, \cdots$. A P-structure on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^3\sigma d^3\theta \ (\delta \boldsymbol{x}^i \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_i + \frac{1}{2} k_{ab} \delta \boldsymbol{q}^a \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{q}^b).$$

Then the Q-structure BV action has following form:

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^3\sigma d^3\theta \ \left(-\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i + \frac{1}{2} k_{ab} \boldsymbol{q}^a \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^b + {f_1}^i{}_a(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{q}^a + \frac{1}{3!} f_{2abc}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{q}^a \boldsymbol{q}^b \boldsymbol{q}^c \right). \quad (8.70)$$

This model has the Courant algebroid structure from the theorem 4.7. This is known as the Courant sigma model [60][61] [55][104].

We can rewrite the equation (8.70) to the non-BV formalism if components of nonzero ghost number are set to zero, like $\boldsymbol{x}^i = \boldsymbol{x}^{(0)i} = x^i$, $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i = \boldsymbol{\xi}_i^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\theta^\mu \theta^\nu \xi^{(2)}_{\mu\nu,i}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}^a = \boldsymbol{q}^{(1)a} = \theta^\mu q^{(1)a}_\mu$. Substituting them to (8.70), we obtain

$$S = \int_X \left(-\xi_i \wedge dx^i + \frac{1}{2} k_{ab} q^a \wedge dq^b + f_{1a}^i(x) \xi_i \wedge q^a + \frac{1}{3!} f_{2abc}(x) q^a \wedge q^b \wedge q^c \right), \quad (8.71)$$

where d is an usual differential on X, and $\xi_i = \frac{1}{2} d\sigma^\mu \wedge d\sigma^\nu \xi^{(2)}_{\mu\nu,i}$ and $q^a = d\sigma^\mu q^{(1)a}_\mu$.

Example 8.4 (Chern-Simons Theory.) If we take $\xi_i = 0$, $f_{1a}^i(x) = 0$ and $f_{2abc}(x) = f_{2abc}$ =constant in the Courant sigma model (8.71), the action reduces to the Chern-Simons theory:

$$S = \int_X \left(\frac{1}{2} k_{ab} q^a \wedge dq^b + \frac{1}{3!} f_{2abc} q^a \wedge q^b \wedge q^c \right), \quad (8.72)$$

Therefore the Chern-Simons theory has the AKSZ construction.

The AKSZ construction in three dimensions for a Lie algebra target space derives the Chern-Simons theory. We consider $n = 2$ case again. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and k_{ab} be a metric on $\mathfrak{g}$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple, we can take the Killing metric as k_{ab}. A QP manifold of degree 2 is defined on $\mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{g}[1]$, where $M = \{pt\}$. A P-structure is defined as

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} k_{ab} \delta q^a \wedge \delta q^b,$$

Here we denote $a(1) = a, b(1) = b, \cdots$ and k_{ab} is a metric on a Lie algebra. A Q-structure is

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{3!} f_{abc} q^a q^b q^c,$$

where f_{abc} is a structure constant of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let X be a three dimensional manifold and $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$. Let $\boldsymbol{q}^a$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathfrak{g}[1])$. The AKSZ construction on $\mathrm{Map}(T[1]X, \mathfrak{g}[1])$ derives a P-structure:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^3\sigma d^3\theta \, \frac{1}{2} k_{ab} \delta \boldsymbol{q}^a \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{q}^b.$$

and a Q-structure

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^3\sigma d^3\theta \, \left(\frac{1}{2} k_{ab} \boldsymbol{q}^a \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{q}^b + \frac{1}{3!} f_{abc} \boldsymbol{q}^a \boldsymbol{q}^b \boldsymbol{q}^c \right).$$

Then the action satisfies $\{S, S\} = 0$. This is the BV formalism of the action (8.72) for the Chern-Simons theory in three dimensions [3] which has been known, for example, in [5].

Example 8.5 (AKSZ Sigma Model in 4 Dimensions.) We take $n = 3$. Let X be a four dimensional manifold and $\mathcal{M}$ be a QP-manifold of degree 3 in Example (4.13). Let $\boldsymbol{x}^i$ be a map from $T[1]X$ to $M = \mathcal{M}_{(0)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathcal{M}^{(3)})$. Let $\boldsymbol{q}^a$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathcal{M}^{(1)})$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_a$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathcal{M}^{(2)})$. Here we denote $a(0) = i, b(0) = j, \cdots$ and $a(1) = a, b(1) = b, \cdots$. A P-structure is

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \, \left(\delta \boldsymbol{x}^i \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_i + \delta \boldsymbol{q}^a \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{p}_a \right).$$

The Q-structure is

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_0 + S_1, \\ S_0 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \, (\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{x}^i - \boldsymbol{p}_a \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{q}^a), \\ S_1 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \, \Big(f_1{}^i{}_a(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_a \boldsymbol{q}^i + \frac{1}{2} f_2{}^{ab}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}_a \boldsymbol{p}_b \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} f_3{}^a{}_{bc}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}_a \boldsymbol{q}^b \boldsymbol{q}^c + \frac{1}{4!} f_{4abcd}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{q}^a \boldsymbol{q}^b \boldsymbol{q}^c \boldsymbol{q}^d \Big). \end{aligned}$$

This topological sigma model has the structure of a Lie algebroid up to homotopy (a H-twisted Lie algebroid) appeared in Example 4.13 [68][46].

Example 8.6 (Topological Yang-Mills Theory.) We take $n = 3$. Let us consider a semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a graded vector bundle $\mathcal{M} = T^*[3]\mathfrak{g}[1] \simeq \mathfrak{g}^*[2] \oplus \mathfrak{g}[1]$ on a point $\{pt\}$. Let X be a manifold in 4 dimensions and take $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$. Then $\boldsymbol{q}^a$ is a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathfrak{g}[1])$. $\boldsymbol{p}_a$ is a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathfrak{g}^*[2])$, where $a(1) = a, b(1) = b, \cdots$. A P-structure is

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \;=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \; \delta\boldsymbol{q}^a \wedge \delta\boldsymbol{p}_a.$$

The dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ has a metric, $(\cdot,\cdot)_{K^{-1}}$, which is the inverse of the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Then we can define a Q-structure,

$$\Theta = k^{ab}p_a p_b + \frac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}p_a q^b q^c, \tag{8.73}$$

where q^a is a coordinate on $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ and p_a is one on $\mathfrak{g}^*[2]$. $k^{ab}p_a p_b := (p_a, p_b)_{K^{-1}}$, and $f^a{}_{bc}$ is the structure constant of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The AKSZ construction derives a topological field theory:

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \; (-\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{F}^a + k^{ab}\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{p}_b).$$

where $\boldsymbol{F}^a = \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^a - \frac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}\boldsymbol{q}^b\boldsymbol{q}^c$. This derives a topological Yang-Mills theory, if we delete $\boldsymbol{p}_a$ by using the equations of motion and make proper gauge fixings of the remaining superfields [63].

Example 8.7 (Nonabelian BF Theories in $n + 1$ Dimensions.) Let $n \geq 2$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. X is a manifold in $n + 1$ dimensions and $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$. We consider $\mathcal{M} = T^*[n]\mathfrak{g}[1] \simeq \mathfrak{g}[1] \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*[n-1]$, where $M = \{pt\}$. Let $\boldsymbol{q}^a$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathfrak{g}[1]])$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_a$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathfrak{g}^*[n-1]])$. Here we denote $a(1) = a, b(1) = b, \cdots$. A P-structure is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \;=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \; \delta\boldsymbol{q}^a \wedge \delta\boldsymbol{p}_a.$$

A curvature is defined as $\boldsymbol{F}^a = \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^a + (-1)^n\frac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}\boldsymbol{q}^b\boldsymbol{q}^c$. A BV action is constructed as

$$\begin{aligned} S \;&=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \; ((-1)^n\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{F}^a) \\ &=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \; \left((-1)^n\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^a + \frac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{q}^b\boldsymbol{q}^c\right). \end{aligned}$$

$\{S, S\} = 0$ is easily confirmed. This action is equivalent to the BV formalism for a nonabelian BF theory in $n + 1$ dimensions [24][33].

Example 8.8 We consider the QP-structure in Example 4.15. We obtain a TFT with a nontrivial nonassociative algebroid structure. $\mathcal{M}$ is a QP manifold of degree n and X is a $n+1$ dimensional manifold and $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$, From the Q-structure Θ in Example 4.15 a BV action $S = S_0 + S_1$ on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is constructed by the AKSZ construction. S_0 has a general form, (7.66) for n =odd and (7.67) for n =even in a local coordinate. S_1 has the following expression:

$$S_1 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \mathrm{ev}^* (\Theta_0 + \Theta_3 + \Theta_4 + \cdots + \Theta_n),$$

where

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta_0 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ ({f_0}^{a(0)}{}_{b(1)}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{a(0)} \boldsymbol{q}^{b(1)}),$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta_i = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ \left(\frac{1}{i!} f_{i,a(n-i+1)b_1(1)b_i(1)}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{e}^{a(n-i+1)} \boldsymbol{q}^{b_1(1)} \cdots \boldsymbol{q}^{b_i(1)} \right),$$

in particular, for an $(n+1)$-form Θ_n,

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \ \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta_n = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta \ \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)!} f_{n,b_0(1)b_1(1)b_n(1)}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{q}^{b_0(1)} \boldsymbol{q}^{b_1(1)} \cdots \boldsymbol{q}^{b_n(1)} \right).$$

Then the master equation $\{S, S\} = 0$ defines the structure of a closed $(n+1)$-form Θ_n up to homotopy on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$.

9 AKSZ Theory with Boundary

Until the previous section, we have considered AKSZ sigma models on a closed base manifold X In this section, AKSZ models on a base manifold X with boundaries are considered. They have important applications. In $n = 1$ case, this corresponds to a topological open string and derives a deformation quantization formulae [25]. $n = 1$ case is discussed later related to the quantization. If $n \geq 2$, the theory describes a topological open membrane [96][55].

9.1 $n = 2$: WZ-Poisson Sigma Model

We explain construction of the AKSZ theory with boundaries by a simplest nontrivial example in $n = 2$. Nontrivial boundary structures are described by QP structures.

Example 9.1 (The WZ-Poisson Sigma Model.) We take $n = 2$ and consider $\mathcal{M} = T^*[2]T[1]M$. $T^*[2]T[1]M$ has a natural QP manifold structure. Let x^i be a coordinate on M of degree 0, q^i be a coordinate on the fiber of $T[1]M$ of degree 1, p_i be a coordinate on the fiber of $T^*[1]M$ of degree 1, and ξ_i be a coordinate on the fiber of $T^*[2]M$ of degree 2. Then a P-structure is defined as

$$\omega = \delta x^i \wedge \delta \xi_i + \delta q^i \wedge \delta p_i.$$

We consider a 3-form H on M. Then a Q-structure is defined as

$$\Theta = \xi_i q^i + \frac{1}{3!} H_{ijk}(x) q^i q^j q^k.$$

$\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ since $dH = 0$.

Let us consider a manifold X with a boundary in 3 dimensions whose boundary a two dimensional manifold ∂X. The AKSZ construction defines a topological sigma model on $\mathrm{Map}(T[1]X, T^*[2]T[1]M)$. This model is a special case of the Courant sigma model on an open manifold. A P-structure is

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^3\sigma d^3\theta \ (\delta \boldsymbol{x}^i \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_i + \delta \boldsymbol{p}_i \wedge \delta \boldsymbol{q}^i).$$

The Q-structure BV action has the following form:

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^3\sigma d^3\theta \ \left(-\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i + \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{p}_i + \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{q}^i + \frac{1}{3!} H_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{q}^j \boldsymbol{q}^k \right).$$

The boundary conditions must be consistent with the variation principle in order to obtain the equations of motion, The variation δS is

$$\delta S = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^3\sigma d^3\theta \ \left(-\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i - \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\delta \boldsymbol{x}^i + \delta \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{p}_i + \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{d}\delta \boldsymbol{p}_i + \cdots \right).$$

Integrations by parts of the terms $-\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\delta \boldsymbol{x}^i + \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{d}\delta \boldsymbol{p}_i$ are needed to derive the equations of motion. The boundary terms must vanish:

$$\delta S|_{\partial \mathcal{X}} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \left(-\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \delta \boldsymbol{x}^i - \boldsymbol{q}^i \delta \boldsymbol{p}_i \right) = 0. \tag{9.74}$$

Boundary condition must be consistent with the equation (9.74). Locally two kind of boundary conditions are possible. $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{//i} = 0$ or $\delta \boldsymbol{x}^i_{//} = 0$, and $\boldsymbol{q}^i_{//} = 0$ or $\delta \boldsymbol{p}_{//i} = 0$, where $//$ is the parallel component to the boundary .[h]

---

[h] Their hybrid boundary conditions are also possible.

We consider boundary conditions $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{//i} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{q}^i_{//} = 0$ as an example. We take a local coordinate such that $\sigma^2 = \theta^2 = 0$ on the boundary. The the boundary conditions are written by the components of superfields as follows: $\xi^{(0)}_i = \xi^{(1)}_{0i} = \xi^{(1)}_{1i} = \xi^{(2)}_{01i} = 0$ and $q^{(0)i} = q^{(1)i}_0 = q^{(1)i}_1 = q^{(2)i}_{01} = 0$ on ∂X.

Another consistency condition is that boundary conditions must not break the classical master equation $\{S, S\} = 0$. Direct computation gives

$$\{S, S\} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \left(-\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i + \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{p}_i + \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{q}^i + \frac{1}{3!} H_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{q}^j \boldsymbol{q}^k \right), \tag{9.75}$$

From the boundary conditions $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{//i} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{q}^i_{//} = 0$, the kinetic terms of the right hand side in the equation (9.75) vanish on the boundary:

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \widehat{\vartheta} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \left(-\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i + \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{p}_i \right) = 0. \tag{9.76}$$

Therefore it is required that the interaction terms in the equation (9.75) must vanish:

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \widehat{\Theta} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{q}^i + \frac{1}{3!} H_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{q}^j \boldsymbol{q}^k \right) = 0. \tag{9.77}$$

This equation is satisfied because the boundary conditions are $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{//i} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{q}^i_{//} = 0$.

This consistency of the boundary conditions is described in terms of a target QP manifolds $\mathcal{M}$. The equation (9.76) is satisfied if $\xi_i = q^i = 0$ on $\mathcal{M}$. From the equation (9.74), this is satisfied if the image of boundaries is on a Lagrangian subspace of the P-structure ω. The equation (9.77) is satisfied if $\widehat{\Theta}|_{\partial \mathcal{X}} = 0$, that is, the Q-structure vanishes $\Theta = 0$ on the Lagrangian subspace.

We can modify the action by introducing a boundary term, for example, $-\int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \boldsymbol{\alpha} = -\int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}_i \boldsymbol{p}_j$ [i][96]:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^3\sigma d^3\theta \ \left(-\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i + \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{p}_i + \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{q}^i + \frac{1}{3!} H_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{q}^j \boldsymbol{q}^k \right) \\ & \quad - \int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}_i \boldsymbol{p}_j. \end{aligned} \tag{9.78}$$

The boundary term changes boundary conditions. The boundary terms of variation δS are

$$\delta S|_{\partial \mathcal{X}} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \left[\left(-\boldsymbol{\xi}_i - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^i} \boldsymbol{p}_j \boldsymbol{p}_k \right) \delta \boldsymbol{x}^i + \left(-\boldsymbol{q}^i - f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}_j \right) \delta \boldsymbol{p}_i \right],$$

---

[i] (9.78) is an example of the boundary term, we can consider more general boundary terms such as $-\int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \ \left(\boldsymbol{p}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i + \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}_i \boldsymbol{p}_j + g^i{}_j(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}_i \boldsymbol{q}^j + \frac{1}{2} h_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{q}^i \boldsymbol{q}^j \right)$.

From the condition vanishing these terms, the consistent boundary conditions are

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_i|_{//} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^i}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{p}_j\boldsymbol{p}_k|_{//}, \qquad \boldsymbol{q}^i|_{//} \ = \ -f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{p}_j|_{//}. \tag{9.79}$$

$\{S,S\} = 0$ on the boundary similarly requires the another consistency conditions. The integrand of S_1 is zero on the boundary:

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\boldsymbol{q}^i + \frac{1}{3!}H_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{q}^i\boldsymbol{q}^j\boldsymbol{q}^k\right)|_{//} = 0. \tag{9.80}$$

(9.79) and (9.80) are expressed by the condition on $\mathcal{M}$.

$$\xi_i q^i + \frac{1}{3!}H_{ijk}(x)q^iq^jq^k = 0, \tag{9.81}$$

on the Lagrangian subspace $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ of a target QP manifold $\mathcal{M}$ defined by

$$\xi_i = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial x^i}(x)p_jp_k, \qquad q^i \ = \ -f^{ij}(x)p_j. \tag{9.82}$$

Substituting (9.82) to (9.81), we obtain the geometric structures on the boundary ∂X:

$$\begin{aligned}&\xi_i q^i + \frac{1}{3!}H_{ijk}(x)q^iq^jq^k \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial x^l}(x)f^{li}(x)p_jp_kp_i - \frac{1}{3!}H_{ijk}(x)f^{il}(x)f^{jm}(x)f^{kn}(x)p_lp_mp_n = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{9.83}$$

If we define $\pi = \frac{1}{2}f^{ij}(x)\partial_i \wedge \partial_j$ on a space of bivector fields $\wedge^2 TM$, the equation (9.83) is equivalent to $[\pi,\pi]_S = \wedge^3\pi^\# H$. Here $[-,-]_S$ is a Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on the space of multivector fields $\wedge^\bullet TM$, which is an odd Lie bracket on the exterior algebra on the vector fields such that $\partial_i \wedge \partial_j = -\partial_j \wedge \partial_i$. $\pi^\# : T^*M \to TM$ is a operation locally defined by $\frac{1}{2}f^{ij}(x)\partial_i \wedge \partial_j(dx^k) = f^{kj}(x)\partial_j$. This is called the twisted Poisson structure [115].

The ghost number zero part of the BV action (9.78) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S|_0 \ = \ & \int_X \left(-\xi_i^{(2)} \wedge dx^i + q^{(1)i} \wedge dp_i^{(1)} + \xi_i^{(2)} \wedge q^{(1)i} + \frac{1}{3!}H_{ijk}(x)q^{(1)i} \wedge q^{(1)j} \wedge q^{(1)k}\right) \\ & -\int_{\partial X} \frac{1}{2}f^{ij}(x)p_i^{(1)} \wedge p_j^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.84}$$

after the integration with respect to θ, where $x = x^{(0)}$. Integrating out $\xi_i^{(2)}$, we obtain an equivalent topological field theory in two dimensions with a Wess-Zumino term:

$$S|_0 \ = \ \int_{\partial X} \left(-p_i^{(1)} \wedge dx^i - \frac{1}{2}f^{ij}(x)p_i^{(1)} \wedge p_j^{(1)}\right) + \int_X \frac{1}{3!}H_{ijk}(x)dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k.$$

This model is the WZ-Poisson sigma model [75]. If we analyze the constraint algebra of this action, it is the first class if a target space manifold has the twisted Poisson structure.

9.2 General Structure of AKSZ Theory with Boundary

We discuss general boundary structures of AKSZ theories in $n+1$ dimensions. Assume that X is a manifold in $n+1$ dimensions with boundaries, $\partial X \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a QP manifold of degree n. Then the AKSZ construction defines a topological sigma model on $\mathrm{Map}(T[1]X, \mathcal{M})$. Then boundary conditions for ∂X must be consistent with a QP structure.

Let $\widehat{\vartheta}$ and $\widehat{\Theta}$ be super Lagrangians such that $S_0 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu\ \widehat{\vartheta}$ and $S_1 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu\ \widehat{\Theta}$. Since $\{S, S\} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{X}} \mu_{\partial\mathcal{X}}\ (\widehat{\vartheta} + \widehat{\Theta})$, in order to satisfy the master equation, $\widehat{\vartheta} + \widehat{\Theta} = 0$ is imposed on the boundaries, where $\mu_{\partial\mathcal{X}} = d^n\sigma d^n\theta$ is a boundary measure induced to ∂X. Therefore

Theorem 9.2 *Assume $\partial\mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$. $\{S, S\} = 0$ requires $(\vartheta + \Theta)|_{\mathcal{N}} = 0$ on $\mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{N} = \mathrm{Im}\ \partial\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{M}$ [55].*

The kinetic term in the AKSZ theories is $S_0 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^{n+1}\sigma d^{n+1}\theta\ \sum_{0 \le i \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{n+1-i} \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)} \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)}$. In order to derive the equations of motion, we take variations of the aciton. Then the boundary term, $\delta S = \int_{\partial\mathcal{X}} d^n\sigma d^n\theta\ \sum_{0 \le i \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{n+1-i} \boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)} \delta\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)} = 0$ is required for consistency. This impose the boundary conditions $\boldsymbol{p}_{a(i)} = 0$ or $\delta\boldsymbol{q}^{a(i)} = 0$ on $\mathrm{Im}\ \partial X$. This is satisfied on a Lagrangian subspace $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}$ which is the zero locus of $\vartheta = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lagrangian subspace with respect to the Q-structure on $\mathcal{M}$, and let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{L}$. Then Theorem 9.2 reduces the simpler condition:

Corollary 9.3 *Let $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathcal{M}$, i.e., $\vartheta|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$. Then $\{S, S\} = 0$ is satisfied if $\Theta|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$.*

9.3 Canonical Transformation

Next we introduce nontrivial boundary terms. Let us consider an adjoint operation e^{δ_α} on a general QP manifold $\mathcal{M}$, $e^{\delta_\alpha}\Theta = \Theta + \{\Theta, \alpha\} + \frac{1}{2}\{\{\Theta, \alpha\}, \alpha\} + \cdots$, where $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

Definition 9.4 *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, \Theta)$ be a QP manifold of degree n. Let $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ be a function of degree n. e^{δ_α} is called a canonical transformation if $\Theta' = e^{\delta_\alpha}\Theta$ satisfies $\{\Theta', \Theta'\} = 0$.*

In the corresponding AKSZ sigma model, by a canonical transformation, the Q-structure is changed to

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_0 + S_1 \\ &= \iota_{\hat{D}}\mu_* \mathrm{ev}^*\vartheta + \mu_* \mathrm{ev}^* e^{\delta_\alpha}\Theta. \end{aligned} \tag{9.85}$$

If $\partial X = \emptyset$, the consistency condition of the theory does not change by a canonical transformation. However if $\partial X \neq \emptyset$, α changes boundary conditions and the consistency condition changes. From Corollary 9.2, we obtain the following Proposition,

Proposition 9.5 *Assume $\partial \mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, \Theta)$ be a QP manifold of degree n. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathcal{M}$. Then the consistency condition $\{S, S\} = 0$ of the AKSZ sigma model (9.85) satisfied if $e^{\delta_\alpha}\Theta|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$. Here $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ of degree n is such that e^{δ_α} defines a canonical transformation.*

α with the property in the proposition 9.5 is called a canonical function. A canonical function is called a Poisson function in case of $n = 2$ [119][80].

9.4 From Canonical Functions to Boundary Terms

In the equation (9.85), change of the Q-structure by the canonical transformation is converted to change of the P-structure as

$$\begin{aligned} S' &= e^{-\delta_\alpha} S \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \, \boldsymbol{d} \, \mathrm{ev}^* e^{-\delta_\alpha} \vartheta + \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \, \mathrm{ev}^* e^{-\delta_\alpha} e^{\delta_\alpha} \Theta \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \, \boldsymbol{d} \, \mathrm{ev}^* e^{-\delta_\alpha} \vartheta + \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \, \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta, \end{aligned} \tag{9.86}$$

where $\boldsymbol{d} = \theta^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^\mu}$ is the superderivative. The geometric structure is the same with the original S. This expression is used in a topological open membrane theory [55].

Interesting α satisfies $\{\alpha, \alpha\} = 0$. Then α is a boundary term:

$$\begin{aligned} S' &= S_0 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \, \boldsymbol{d} \, \mathrm{ev}^* \alpha + \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \, \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta \\ &= S_0 + \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \, \mathrm{ev}^* \Theta - \int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} \mu_{\partial \mathcal{X}} \, \mathrm{ev}^* \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

A canonical function introduces a boundary source generated by α, $\int_{\partial \mathcal{X}} \mu_{\partial \mathcal{X}} \, \mathrm{ev}^* \alpha$, which has a nonzero boundary 'charge'. Physically, this is called a **topological open membrane**.

As a special case of this construction, the Nambu-Poisson structures are realized by the AKSZ sigma models on a manifold with boundaries [18].

In this section, we have discussed fixed boundary conditions. We can take free boundary condition on boundaries. These are called the AKSZ-BFV theories on a manifold with boundaries, which are formulated in [30][31].

10 Topological String from AKSZ Sigma Model

In this section, we discuss derivations of A-model and B-model [129] from an AKSZ TFT in two dimensions, the Poisson sigma model. A-model and B-model are derived by the gauge fixing of the AKSZ sigma model [3].

10.1 A Model

Let $X = \Sigma$ be a compact Riemann surface. Let us consider the AKSZ formalism of the Poisson sigma model in Example 8.1. We consider the same graded manifold and P-structure in Example 8.1, but the $S_0 = 0$ version of the Q-structure BV action is considered:

$$S_1 \;=\; \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \; f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\boldsymbol{\xi}_j. \tag{10.87}$$

The classical master equation $\{S_1, S_1\} = 0$ is also the consistency condition in this case. This imposes the same identity (4.29) on $f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{x})$. The superfields are expanded by θ:

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{x}^i &= x^{(0)i} + x^{(1)i} + x^{(2)i} (= \boldsymbol{\phi}^i = \phi^i + A^{+i} + c^{+i}),\\
\boldsymbol{\xi}_i &= \xi_i^{(0)} + \xi_i^{(1)} + \xi_i^{(2)} (= \boldsymbol{A}_i = -c_i + A_i + \phi_i^{+}).
\end{aligned}$$

We take a complex coordinate $(z, \bar{z})$ on Σ. and a complex coordinate on a target space M, $i = (a, \dot{a})$. Let M be a Kähler manifold. J is a complex structure and g_{ij} is a Kähler metric. Then f^{ij} is the inverse of a Kähler structure, $f^{ij} = -J^i{}_k g^{kj}$. We define the holomorphic part and the antiholomorphic part of the fields $A_z^{+i} = -A_0^{+i} + iA_1^{+i}$, $A_{\bar{z}}^{+i} = A_0^{+i} + iA_1^{+i}$ and $A_{zi} = -A_{0i} - iA_{1i}$, $A_{\bar{z}i} = -A_{0i} + iA_{1i}$ and $\phi_{z\bar{z}}{}_i^{+} = 2i\phi_i^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{A_{zi}, A_{z'}^{+j}\} = 2i\delta_i^j \delta(z - z'), \quad \{A_{\bar{z}i}, A_{\bar{z}'}^{+j}\} = 2i\delta_i^j \delta(\bar{z} - \bar{z}'),\\
&\{A_{\bar{z}i}, A_{z'}^{+j}\} = 0, \quad \{A_{zi}, A_{\bar{z}'}^{+j}\} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

We define fields with target complex coordinates so as to be

$$\{A_{za}, A_{z'}^{+b}\} = \delta_a^b \delta(z - z'), \quad \{A_{\bar{z}a}, A_{\bar{z}'}^{+b}\} = \delta_a^b \delta(\bar{z} - \bar{z}'),$$

and to be similar relations for their complex conjugates. Complex conjugate fields satisfy $\overline{A_z^{+a}} = A_{\bar{z}}^{+\dot{a}}$, and $\overline{A_{za}} = A_{\bar{z}\dot{a}}$.

If the gauge symmetry of the theory is partially fixed by the BV procedure, the action reduces to A model action in [129]. We fix c^{+i}, $A_{\bar{z}a}$, $A_{z\dot{a}}$ and ϕ^{+}_{i}. The gauge fixing fermion is

$$\Psi = \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2z g_{a\dot{a}}(\phi)(A^{+\dot{a}}_{z}\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi^{a} - A^{+a}_{\bar{z}}\partial_{z}\phi^{\dot{a}}).$$

Then the gauge fixing conditions are

$$\begin{aligned}
&c^{+i} = 0, \\
&\hat{A}^{z}{}_{\dot{a}} = ig_{a\dot{a}}(\phi)\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi^{a}, \\
&\hat{A}^{\bar{z}}{}_{a} = -ig_{a\dot{a}}(\phi)\partial_{z}\phi^{\dot{a}}, \\
&\phi_{z\bar{z}}{}^{+}_{a} = -i\partial_{\bar{z}}(g_{a\dot{a}}(\phi)A^{+\dot{a}}_{z}), \\
&\phi_{z\bar{z}}{}^{+}_{\dot{a}} = i\partial_{z}(g_{a\dot{a}}(\phi)A^{+a}_{\bar{z}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{10.88}$$

Substituting (10.88) to (10.87), and integrating out A_{za} and $A_{\bar{z}\dot{a}}$ by the equations of motion, we obtain the original A model action [129]:

$$S_1 \;=\; \int_{\Sigma} d^2z \left(g_{a\dot{a}}\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi^{a}\partial_{z}\phi^{\dot{a}} - i\psi^{a}_{\bar{z}}D_{z}\chi_{a} - i\psi^{\dot{a}}_{z}D_{\bar{z}}\chi_{\dot{a}} + R_{a\dot{a}}{}^{b\dot{b}}\psi^{a}_{\bar{z}}\psi^{\dot{a}}_{z}\chi_{b}\chi_{\dot{b}} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_i &= \frac{1}{2i}c_i, \quad A^{+a}_{\mu} = \psi^{a}_{\mu}, \quad A^{+\dot{a}}_{\mu} = \psi^{\dot{a}}_{\mu}, \\
D_z\chi_i &= \partial_z\chi_i - \Gamma^{k}_{ij}\partial_z\phi^{j}\chi_k, \\
D_{\bar{z}}\chi_i &= \partial_{\bar{z}}\chi_i - \Gamma^{k}_{ij}\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi^{j}\chi_k.
\end{aligned}$$

10.2 B Model

In the Example 8.2, we take a local coordinate on the target space such that $J^{i}{}_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon^{ik}\delta^{j}_{k}$. Then the BV action is simplified:

$$S_B \;=\; \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^2z d^2\theta \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i - \boldsymbol{p}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^i + \epsilon^{i}{}_{j}\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\boldsymbol{q}^j \right).$$

The superfields are expanded by θ:

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{x}^i &= x^{(0)i} + x^{(1)i} + x^{(2)i}, \\
\boldsymbol{\xi}_i &= \xi^{(0)}_i + \xi^{(1)}_i + \xi^{(2)}_i. \\
\boldsymbol{q}^i &= q^{(0)i} + q^{(1)i} + q^{(2)i}, \\
\boldsymbol{p}_i &= p^{(0)}_i + p^{(1)}_i + p^{(2)}_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{10.89}$$

The gauge is partially fixed as A model. We take different gauge fixing conditions for the holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&x^{(1)\dot{a}} = 0,\\
&x_{z\bar{z}}^{(2)a} + \Gamma^a_{bc} x_z^{(1)b} x_{\bar{z}}^{(1)c} = 0,\\
&\xi^{(0)}_{\dot{a}} = 0,\\
&\xi^{(1)}_{za} + \Gamma^b_{ac} \xi^{(0)}_b x_z^{(1)c} = g_{a\dot{a}}(\phi)\partial_z x^{(0)\dot{a}},\\
&\xi^{(1)}_{\bar{z}a} - \Gamma^b_{ac} \xi^{(0)}_b x_{\bar{z}}^{(1)c} = g_{a\dot{a}}(\phi)\partial_{\bar{z}} x^{(0)\dot{a}},\\
&\xi^{(2)}_{z\bar{z}a} = 0, \quad \xi^{(2)}_{z\bar{z}\dot{a}} - R^c_{a\dot{a}b} x_{\bar{z}}^{(1)a} x_z^{(1)b} \xi^{(0)}_{\dot{c}} = -(D_z x_{\bar{z}}^{(1)a} + D_{\bar{z}} x_z^{(1)a}) g_{a\dot{a}},\\
&q^{(0)\dot{a}} = q^{(1)\dot{a}} = q^{(2)\dot{a}} = 0,\\
&p^{(0)}_a = p^{(1)}_a = p^{(2)}_a = 0.
\end{aligned} \qquad (10.90)$$

Substituting (10.90) to (10.89), we obtain the original B model action [129]:

$$S = \int_\Sigma d^2 z \left(g_{ij}\partial_z \phi^i \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi^j + i\eta^{\dot{a}}_z (D_z \rho^a_{\bar{z}} + D_{\bar{z}} \rho^a_z) g_{a\dot{a}} + i\theta_a (D_{\bar{z}} \rho^a_z - D_z \rho^a_{\bar{z}}) - R_{a\dot{a}b\dot{b}} \rho^a_z \rho^b_{\bar{z}} \eta^{\dot{a}} \theta_c g^{c\dot{b}} \right).$$

where $x^{(0)i} = \phi^i$, $x^{(1)a} = \rho^a$, $\xi^{(0)}_a = \theta_a$ and $p^{(0)}_{\dot{a}} = \eta^{\dot{a}}$.

11 Quantization

The AKSZ theories are quantized by the usual procedure of the BV formalism. Quantization is concretely carried out in only a few case and not well understood so far. In this section, we explain one important example.

11.1 Poisson Sigma Model on Disc

A path integral quantization of the Poisson sigma model on disc derives the Kontsevich's deformation quantization formula on a Poisson manifold [25]. We briefly explain this model as an example of the quantization of an AKSZ TFT. The details should be referred to the paper [25].

11.1.1 Deformation Quantization

A Poisson manifold is a manifold M with a Poisson bracket.

Definition 11.1 *[deformation quantization] Let M be a Poisson manifold. Let $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ be a set of formal power series on $C^\infty(M)$, where $\hbar$ is a formal parameter. A deformation quantization is a product (star product) $*$ on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ satisfying the following conditions.*

(1) *For $F, G \in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$, $F * G = \sum_k \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^k \mathcal{B}_k(F,G)$ is bilinear, where $\mathcal{B}_k$ is a bidifferential operator such that $\mathcal{B}_0(F,G) = FG$, $\mathcal{B}_1(F,G) = \{F,G\}_{P.B.}$.*

(2) *For $F, G, H \in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$, $*$ is associative, i.e.*

$$(F * G) * H = F * (G * H).$$

(3) *Two star products $*$ are equivalent if they coincide by a following linear transformation: $F' = \sum_k \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^k \mathcal{D}_k(F)$, where $\mathcal{D}_k$ is a differential operator.*

The following theorem has been proved in [25]:

Theorem 11.2 *The correlation functions of the Poisson sigma model of observables on the boundary on disc coincide with a star product formula on a Poisson manifold called the Kontsevich formula.*

11.1.2 Path Integral

Let us consider the disc $D = \{z \in \boldsymbol{C} | |z| \leq 1\}$. Since the Poisson sigma model is invariant under conformal transformations, disc is mapped to an upper half plane $\Sigma = \{z = \sigma^0 + i\sigma^1 | \sigma^1 \geq 0\}$ by a conformal transformation. We consider

$$S = S_0 + S_1 = \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \left(\boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{\phi}^i + \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{A}_j \right), \tag{11.91}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\phi}^i = \boldsymbol{x}^{a(0)}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}_i = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{a(0)}$.

A partition function of the theory $Z = \int_L \mathcal{D}\Phi \; e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_q}$ and correlation functions

$$Z(\mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_r) = \int_L \mathcal{D}\Phi \; \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_r e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_q} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k Z_k(\mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_r),$$

are calculated by a formal expansion of $\hbar$, where S_q is a gauge fixed quantum action and $\mathcal{O}_s$ is an observable, where $\mathcal{D}\Phi$ is a measure on all the fundamental fields and ghosts.

Since no complete superfield formalism is known in the quantization of AKSZ theories, we need expansions to component fields. Each superfield is expanded by θ as

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\phi}^i &= \phi^i + A^{+i} + c^{+i}, \\ \boldsymbol{A}_i &= -c_i + A_i + \phi_i^+. \end{aligned} \tag{11.92}$$

11.1.3 BV Quantization

Generally, the gauge symmetry algebra of an AKSZ theory is an open algebra. Therefore we need the BV quantization procedure [50]. In order to compute the partition function, the gauge fixing of the action S is needed.

First we introduce a FP antighost $\bar{c}^i$ of ghost number gh $\bar{c}^i = -1$, a Nakanishi-Lautrap multiplier field b^i of gh $b^i = 0$, and their antifields $\bar{c}_i^+ = \frac{1}{2}\theta^\mu\theta^\nu\bar{c}_{\mu\nu i}^+$ of gh $\bar{c}_i^+ = 0$, and $b_i^+ = \frac{1}{2}\theta^\mu\theta^\nu b_{\mu\nu i}^+$ of gh $b_i^+ = -1$. The P-structure (antibracket) is extended to them as

$$\{\bar{c}^i, \bar{c}_j^+\} = \{b^i, b_j^+\} = \delta^i{}_j, \tag{11.93}$$

and other commutators are zero.

The gauge fixing term

$$S_{GF} = -\int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta\ b^i \bar{c}_i^+ \tag{11.94}$$

is added to the BV action S in order to make a gauge fixing. We define $S_q = S + S_{GF}$.

Next the gauge fixing fermion $\Psi(\Phi)$ of ghost number 1 is considered to restrict the path integral to L on the space of all fields. This is a function of only fields and ghosts and not antifields. All the antifields are fixed by the gauge fixing fermion. We take a gauge fixing fermion Ψ:

$$\Psi = \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta\ \bar{c}^i \boldsymbol{d} * A_i.$$

The BV gauge fixing is carried out by substituting the equations

$$\Phi^+ = \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta\Phi},$$

for Φ^+ to S_q. Gauge fixing conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{c}_i^+ = \boldsymbol{d} * A_i, \quad A^{+i} = *\boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^i, \\ &\phi_i^+ = 0, \quad c_i^+ = b_i^+ = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these equations, we obtain the gauge fixed action by restricting the action to a Lagrangian subspaces of the space of all fields, $S_{q|L}(\Phi) = S_q(\Phi, \Phi^+ = \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta\Phi})$:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{q|L} \;&=\; \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \bigg(A_i \boldsymbol{d}\phi^i - *\boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^i \boldsymbol{d} c_i - b^i \boldsymbol{d} * A_i \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\phi) A_i A_j - \frac{\partial f^{ij}}{\partial \phi^k}(\phi) * \boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^k A_i c_j + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2 f^{ij}}{\partial\phi^k \partial\phi^l}(\phi) * \boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^k * \boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^l c_i c_j \bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{11.95}$$

The partition function Z must not depend on the gauge fixing condition. This means that the partition function is invariant under arbitrary infinitesimal changes of a gauge fixing fermion Ψ:

$$Z(\Psi) = Z(\Psi + \delta\Psi).$$

This requirement derives the consistency condition for the quantum BV action $S_q = S + S_{GF}$:

$$\Delta e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_q(\Phi, \Phi^+)} = 0, \tag{11.96}$$

where Δ is an odd Laplace operator (5.58) introduced in section 5. This equation is equivalent to the **quantum master equation**:

$$2i\hbar \Delta S_q - \{S_q, S_q\} = 0. \tag{11.97}$$

An AKSZ theory satisfies this equation formally. Especially an AKSZ theory satisfy equations $\Delta S_q = 0$ and $\{S_q, S_q\} = 0$. We need a renormalization to prove these equations beyond formal calculations [j].

A correlation function of an observable $\mathcal{O}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \int_{L, \boldsymbol{\phi}(\infty) = x} \mathcal{D}\Phi \; \mathcal{O} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_q},$$

also should be invariant under infinitesimal changes of the gauge fixing fermion Ψ. This condition is

$$\Delta \left(\mathcal{O} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_q} \right) = 0. \tag{11.98}$$

This equation is equivalent to the following equation:

$$i\hbar \Delta \mathcal{O} - \{S_q, \mathcal{O}\} = 0. \tag{11.99}$$

---

[j] A naive equation may be broken like the notes in the end of section 5, but we can properly regularize the equation in this case

11.1.4 Boundary Conditions

Boundary conditions on each field are determined from consistency. The variation of the action is

$$\delta S = \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \left(\delta \boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{\phi}^i + \boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{d}\delta\boldsymbol{\phi}^i + \delta\boldsymbol{\phi}^i \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}^i}(\boldsymbol{\phi})\boldsymbol{A}_j\boldsymbol{A}_k + f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\phi})\delta\boldsymbol{A}_i\boldsymbol{A}_j \right), \quad (11.100)$$

In order to obtain the equations of motion, we need integrate partially the second term $\boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{d}\delta\boldsymbol{\phi}^i$. Its boundary integral must vanish:

$$\int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \; \boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{A}_i\delta\boldsymbol{\phi}^i) = \int_{\partial T[1]\Sigma} d\sigma^0 d\theta^0 \boldsymbol{A}_i \delta\boldsymbol{\phi}^i = \int_{\partial T[1]\Sigma} d\sigma^0 d\theta^0 \left(A_i \delta\phi^i - c_i \delta A^{+i} \right) = 0 \quad (11.101)$$

In order to satisfy the equation (11.101), locally two boundary conditions $A_{//i}| = 0$ or $\delta\phi^i| = 0$, and $c_i| = 0$ or $\delta A^{+i}_{//}| = 0$ are possible.[k] Here $A_{//i} = A_{0i}$ means the parallel component on the boundaries. In order to obtain a nontrivial solution for ϕ^i, which is a map of the sigma model, we require $A_{//i}| = 0$ and $c_i| = 0$. The classical equations of motion is

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{\phi}^i + f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\phi})\boldsymbol{A}_j = 0, \\ &\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{A}_i + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f^{jk}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}^i}(\boldsymbol{\phi})\boldsymbol{A}_j\boldsymbol{A}_k = 0, \end{aligned}$$

From the equations of motion and the boundary conditions for $A_{//i}$ and c_i, we obtain the boundary conditions $\phi^i| = x^i$ =constant and $A^{+i}_{//}| = 0$. We summarize the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi^i = x^i = \text{constant}, \quad A_{//i} = 0, \\ &c_i = 0, \quad A^{+i}_{//} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similar consistency conditions for the gauge fixed action (11.95) lead the boundary conditions for the ghosts:

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi^+_i = 0, \quad c^+_i = 0, \\ &\bar{c}^i = \text{constant}, \quad b^i = 0, \quad b^+_i = 0, \quad \bar{c}^+_i = \boldsymbol{d} * A_i. \end{aligned}$$

These boundary conditions are consistent with the master equations on the boundary.

---

[k] Other more general boundary conditions are analyzed in [28].

11.1.5 Propagator

The first line of the gauge fixed action (11.95):

$$\begin{aligned} S_F &= \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \Big(A_i \boldsymbol{d}\phi^i - b^i \boldsymbol{d} * A_i - * \boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^i \boldsymbol{d} c_i \Big) \\ &= \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \Big(A_i \boldsymbol{d}\phi^i + A_i * \boldsymbol{d} b^i - c_i \boldsymbol{d} * \boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^i \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{11.102}$$

defines the propagators. Gauge fixed superfields are

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^i &= \varphi^i + * \boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^i + 0, \\ \boldsymbol{A}_i &= -c_i + A_i + 0, \end{aligned} \tag{11.103}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\phi}^i = x^i + \boldsymbol{\varphi}^i$ and x^i is a classical constant solution of ϕ^i.

Let $G(z, w)$ be a Green function such that $\boldsymbol{d}_w * \boldsymbol{d}_w G(z, w) = 2\pi\delta(z - w)$ and its boundary conditions are Dirichlet for z and Neumann for w. Then the solution is $G(z, w) = \frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{(z-w)(z-\bar{w})}{(\bar{z}-\bar{w})(\bar{z}-w)}$. The super propagator is computed as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}^i(w) \boldsymbol{A}_j(z) \rangle = \frac{i\hbar}{2\pi} \delta^i_j (\boldsymbol{d}_z + \boldsymbol{d}_w) G(z, w),$$

so as to be consistent with the boundary condition for each field. Here $\boldsymbol{d}_z$ is a superderivative with respect to a variable z and $\boldsymbol{d}_w$ is one with respect to a variable w. Though there is a propagator of A_i and b^i, we omit that since a star product does not need it.

11.1.6 Vertex

S_I defines vertices. S_I is combined by gauge fixed superfields (11.103) as

$$\begin{aligned} S_I &= \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \Big(\frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\phi) A_i A_j - \frac{\partial f^{ij}}{\partial \phi^k}(\phi) * \boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^k A_i c_j + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f^{ij}}{\partial \phi^k \partial \phi^l}(\phi) * \boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^k * \boldsymbol{d}\bar{c}^l c_i c_j \Big) \\ &= \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \; \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{A}_j. \end{aligned} \tag{11.104}$$

In order to identify the vertices, $\boldsymbol{\phi}^i$ and $\boldsymbol{A}_i$ are expanded around the classical solutions $\boldsymbol{\phi}^i = x^i + \boldsymbol{\varphi}^i$ and $\boldsymbol{A}_i = 0 + \boldsymbol{A}_i$:

$$S_I = \frac{1}{2} \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \; \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \partial_{j_1} \partial_{j_2} \cdots \partial_{j_k} f^{ij}(x) \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{j_1} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{j_2} \cdots \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{j_k} \boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{A}_j,$$

which determines vertices of order $\hbar^{-1}$. There are the infinite number of vertices. A k-th vertex has two $\boldsymbol{A}$ lines and k $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ lines with the weight $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{k!}\partial_{j_1}\partial_{j_2}\cdots\partial_{j_k}f^{ij}(x)$.

The path integral of an observable $\mathcal{O}$ is

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \;=\; \int \mathcal{O} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S_F+S_I)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^n}{\hbar^n n!} \int \mathcal{O} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_F} S_I^n. \tag{11.105}$$

Since $\mathcal{O}$ is a function of superfields $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}$, this path integral is computed by the integration of the combinations of propagators $\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}^i(w)\boldsymbol{A}_j(z)\rangle$ like the usual perturbation theory. Combinations are calculated by the Feynman diagrams. Using the Wick theorem for the order $\hbar^n$ Feynman diagram Γ, the order $\hbar^n$ path integral is the integration of the propagators

$$\frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{(i\hbar)^n}{(2\pi)^{2n}}\right)\int \wedge_{j=1}^{n} dG(u_i, u_{v_1(j)}) \wedge dG(u_j, u_{v_2(j)}),$$

where $(u_i, u_{v_1(j)})$ and $(u_i, u_{v_2(j)})$ are the end points of each propagator ($j = 1, \cdots, n$) on $T[1]\Sigma$. This leads the bidifferential operator of a star product $(-1)^n B_{\Gamma n}(F, G)$ of order n.

11.1.7 Renormalization of Tadpoles

Contributions from tadpoles are renormalized to zero in order to derive a star product. It can be carried out consistently. We can add a gauge invariant counter term to subtract all tadpole contributions. A counter term is

$$S_{ct} \;=\; \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\theta d^2\sigma \; \frac{\partial f^{ij}(\boldsymbol{\phi})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}^i} \boldsymbol{A}_j \kappa,$$

where κ is a subtraction coefficient of the renormalization. The path integral coincides with the Kontsevich star product formula after this procedure.

11.1.8 Correlation Function

Let us compute correlation functions of observables on the boundary (vertex operators). From the boundary conditions, a nontrivial observable is an arbitrary function of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ because a function of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$, $F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(z))$ satisfies the equation (11.99) on the boundary for arbitrary F. Let us consider an observable $\mathcal{O} = F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(s))$, where t and s are coordinates σ^0 on the boundary. The conformal transformation in the world sheet fixes three points $0, 1, \infty$ on the boundary. The boundary condition is fixed at $\sigma^0 = \infty$ and $\mathcal{O}$ is transformed to

$\mathcal{O} = F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))$ by the conformal transformation. The correlation function of this observable is computed by the perturbative expansion. The first two terms are the classical solution and the tree amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))\rangle &= \int_{\boldsymbol{\phi}(\infty)=x} \mathcal{D}\Phi \ F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S_q)} \\
&= F(x)G(x) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} f^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial G(x)}{\partial x^j} + O(\hbar^2), \\
&= F(x)G(x) + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\{F(x), G(x)\}_{P.B.} + O(\hbar^2). \qquad (11.106)
\end{aligned}$$

and higher order terms are determined from the Feynman diagrams. The Poisson sigma model has been determined from only a Poisson structure on M, higher terms in the expansion are described by f^{ij} and its differentiations. From the equation (11.106), this correlation function satisfies the first condition in the definition 11.1 of a deformation quantization.

If $f^{ij}(x) = f^{ij}$ =constant, the perturbation is simplified in all orders. In this case, only tree graphs are nonzero, therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))\rangle &= \int_{\boldsymbol{\phi}(\infty)=x} \mathcal{D}\Phi \ F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S_q)} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lim_{y\to x} \exp\left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} f^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right)^n F(x)G(y).
\end{aligned}$$

This is nothing but the Moyal product, which is a star product for the constant f^{ij}.

11.1.9 Associativity

We explain that the correlation function (11.106) satisfy the conditions 2, associativity in the definition 11.1. Associativity is derived from the Ward-Takahashi identity of the gauge symmetry of the theory. In the BV formalism, the quantum master equation (11.96) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\phi(\infty)=x} \mathcal{D}\Phi \ \Delta\left(\mathcal{O}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_q}\right) = 0. \qquad (11.107)$$

Take an observable $\mathcal{O} = F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t))H(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))$ on the boundary, where t is a coordinate on the boundary such that $0 < t < 1$ and τ is its supercoordinate. Since the conformal transformation in two dimensions fixes only three points, this observable has the moduli t.

From (11.107), we have

$$\int_{\phi(\infty)=x,1>t>0} dtd\tau\mathcal{D}\Phi\ \Delta\left(F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t))H(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_q}\right)=0.$$

From (11.96) and (11.107), we obtain

$$\int_{\phi(\infty)=x,1>t>0} dtd\tau\mathcal{D}\Phi\ \{S_q,F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t))H(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))\}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_q}=0.$$

Substituting $\{S_q,F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t))H(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))\}=-\boldsymbol{d}\left(F(\phi(1))G(\phi(t))H(\phi(0))\right)$ and applying the Stokes' theorem, this path integral becomes a boundary integral of the moduli space:

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{t\to 1}\int_{\phi(\infty)=x}\mathcal{D}\Phi\ \left(F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t))H(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_q}\right)\\ &-\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{\phi(\infty)=x}\mathcal{D}\Phi\ \left(F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t))H(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_q}\right)=0,\end{aligned}$$

This equation is the associativity relation

$$(F*G)*H-F*(G*H)=0,$$

for $F,G,H\in C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$.

Next we discuss the condition 3 in the definition 11.1. It is sufficient to prove the following statement. Let $F(\boldsymbol{\phi})$ be a function such that $\{F(x),G(x)\}_{P.B.}=0$ for any G. Then prove

$$\langle F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))\rangle-\langle F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(-1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))\rangle=0. \tag{11.108}$$

Since $\langle F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))\rangle=F*G(x)$ and $\langle F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(-1))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))\rangle=G*F(x)$, this derives that any star product constructed from $\{F(x),G(x)\}_{P.B.}=0$ derives $F*G(x)-G*F(x)=\{F,G\}_{P.B.}=0$.

If $\{F(x),G(x)\}_{P.B.}=0$, then $F(\boldsymbol{\phi})$ satisfy $\{S,F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(u))\}=\boldsymbol{d}F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(u))$ on interior points u on disc. The Ward-Takahashi identity for this observable

$$\int_{\phi(\infty)=x}\mathcal{D}\Phi\ \Delta\left(F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(u))G(\boldsymbol{\phi}(0))e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_q}\right)=0,$$

is satisfied. This derives the equation (11.108) after the point u approaches the boundary.

$$\int_{\phi(\infty)=x}\mathcal{D}\Phi\ F(\boldsymbol{\phi}(u))e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_q}=\sum_k\left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^k\mathcal{D}_k(F),$$

represents the equivalence transformation of two star products from the factorization argument of the moduli space.

11.2 Formality

The mathematical proof of existence of a deformation quantization on a Poisson manifold [76][25] is called the formality theorem and closely related to the quantization of the Poisson sigma model in this section. There are many mathematical documents about the formality theorem. Since this is not the theme in this article, here we express a (none rigorous) story of formality.

11.2.1 Differential Graded Lie Algebra

The input datum in a deformation quantization is a Poisson bracket $\{F, G\}_{P.B.}$. As we saw in Example 4.3, this structure is reinterpreted in term of a graded language. Here we consider a differential graded Lie algebra.

Definition 11.3 *A* ***differential graded Lie algebra*** $(\mathfrak{g}, \{-,-\}, d)$ *is a graded algebra with* $\mathbb{Z}$*-degree* $\mathfrak{g} \oplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}^k[-k]$ *where* $\mathfrak{g}^k$ *is a degree* k *part of* $\mathfrak{g}$*,* $\{-,-\}$ *is a graded Lie bracket and* $d : \mathfrak{g}^k \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{k+1}$ *is a differential such that* $d^2 = 0$*.*

$\Theta = \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(x) \xi_i \wedge \xi_j$ on a QP manifold of degree 1 is also regarded as a section of a space of the bivector fields $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(x) \partial_i \wedge \partial_j$ (2nd order antisymmetric tensors) on M, $\mathfrak{g} = \Gamma(\wedge^2 TM)$. We also denote this space by $\mathfrak{g}^1_1 = T^1_{poly}(M)$. This is a differential graded Lie algebra, if we take a Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket $[-,-]_S$ as a graded Lie bracket and $d = 0$.

11.2.2 Maurer-Cartan Equation

Next we consider the subspace of the solutions of the Maurer-Cartan equation $d\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_1, \alpha_1]_S = 0$ in $\mathfrak{g}^1_1$, where $\alpha_1 \in \mathfrak{g}^1_1$. This space is denoted by $\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}^1_1) = \mathfrak{g}^1_1/_\sim$. It is equivalent to the solutions of the classical master equation $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ of a QP manifold of degree 1 because $d = 0$. Therefore an element of $\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}^1_1)$ defines a Poisson bracket $\{F, G\}_{P.B.} = \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(x) \partial_i F(x) \wedge \partial_j G(x)$ on M.

11.2.3 Hochschild Complex

The $\hbar^1$ order of the deformation quantization is the classical theory. From $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(x) \partial_i \wedge \partial_j$, first two terms are determined as $(F, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(F, G) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathcal{B}_1(F, G) = FG + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{2} f^{ij}(x) \partial_i F \partial_j G \in \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes 2}, A)$ where $A = C^\infty(M)$ and $F, G \in A$.

From (3) in Definition 11.1, two expressions of $\mathcal{B}_0(F,G) + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\mathcal{B}_1(F,G)$ are equivalent if they coincide in the $\hbar^1$ order by redefinitions F and G as $F' = F + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\mathcal{D}F$. A redefinition is an element $\mathrm{Hom}(A,A)$. In order to prove associativity, we must consider $\mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes 3},A)$. $d\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_1,\alpha_1]_S = 0$ is mapped to the associativity relation,

$$\begin{aligned}(F,G,H) \longrightarrow \quad & FGH - FGH + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\mathcal{B}_1(FG,H) - \mathcal{B}_1(F,GH) + \mathcal{B}_1(F,G)H - F\mathcal{B}_1(G,H)\right) \\ & + \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^2 \left(\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{B}_1(F,G),H) - \mathcal{B}_1(F,\mathcal{B}_1(G,H))\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{11.109}$$

on $\mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes 3},A)$ in the classical level. To realize this identity clearly, we define a second differential graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_2$. $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \oplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z},k\geq -1}\mathfrak{g}_2^k[-k]$, where $\mathfrak{g}_2^k = \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes k+1},A)$. $\mathfrak{g}_2$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{g}_2^k = D_{poly}^k(M)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = D_{poly}(M)$. For an element $C \in \mathfrak{g}_2^k$, a differential is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}(dC)(F_0\otimes\cdots\otimes F_{k+1}) \;=\; & F_0 C(F_1\otimes\cdots\otimes F_{k+1}) - \sum_{r=0}^{k} C(F_0\otimes\cdots\otimes(F_rF_{r+1})\otimes\cdots\otimes F_{k+1}) \\ & +(-1)^k C(F_0\otimes\cdots\otimes F_k)F_{k+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{11.110}$$

$(\mathfrak{g}_2, d)$ is called the Hochschild complex, A graded Lie bracket is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & [C_1,C_2] = C_1\circ C_2 - (-1)^{k_1k_2} C_2\circ C_1, \qquad (11.111) \\ & C_1\circ C_2(F_0\otimes\cdots\otimes F_{k_1+k_2}) \\ & = \sum_{r=0}^{k}(-1)^{rk} C_1(F_0\otimes\cdots\otimes F_{r-1}\otimes C_2(F_r\otimes\cdots\otimes F_{r+k_2})\otimes F_{r+k_2+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes F_{k_1+k_2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_2^{k_1}$ and $C_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_2^{k_2}$.

For an element $\widetilde{\alpha} =\in \mathfrak{g}_2^1$. the Maurer-Cartan equation $d\widetilde{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}[\widetilde{\alpha},\widetilde{\alpha}] = 0$ is equivalent to the associativity equation (11.109). Equivalence under redefinitions also is expressed by the Maurer-Cartan equation on $\mathfrak{g}_2^0$. Therefore we should consider the subspace of the solutions of the Maurer-Cartan equation in $\mathfrak{g}_2$. This is denoted by $\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_2) = \mathfrak{g}_2/_\sim$.

A map on two differential graded Lie algebra in the classical level is $U_1 : \mathfrak{g}_1^1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2^1$, such that $U_1 : \frac{1}{2}f^{ij}(x)\partial_i\wedge\partial_j \mapsto \left(F_0\otimes F_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}f^{ij}(x)\partial_i F_0 \partial_j F_1\right)$. Since this map preserves the Maurer-Cartan equations, this induces the map $U_1 : \mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_2^1)$.

A deformation quantization is expressed as follows. Fix the map U_1. The problem is to find a morphism on deformations of two differential graded Lie algebras by $\hbar$, $U : \mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^1[[\hbar]]) \longrightarrow$

$\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]])$. Here $\Theta = \frac{i\hbar}{2}\Theta_1 + \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^2\Theta_2 + \cdots$ and $\mathcal{B}_0 + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\mathcal{B}_1 + \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^2\mathcal{B}_2 + \cdots$. The deformed Maurer-Cartan equation must be imposed for redefinition equivalence and associativity condition in Definition 11.1.

The deformed Maurer-Cartan equation on $\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]])$ cannot be preserved by a linear deformation of U_1 because U_1 does not preserve a graded Lie bracket. Therefore the construction of U needs a nonlinear extension of U_1.

11.2.4 Space of Polyvector Fields

Discussion becomes clear to extend $\mathfrak{g}_1^1$ to a differential graded Lie algebra of polyvector fields $T_{poly}(M) = \mathfrak{g}_1 = \oplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z},k\geq -1}\mathfrak{g}_1^k[-k]$, where $\mathfrak{g}_1^k = \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1}TM)$. An element is $\alpha_k = \alpha^{j_0\cdots j_k}(x)\partial_{j_0}\wedge\cdots\wedge\partial_{j_k} = \alpha^{j_0\cdots j_k}(x)\xi_{j_0}\cdots\xi_{j_k} \in \mathfrak{g}_1^k$, A differential $d = 0$ and a graded Lie bracket is a Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket which is equivalent to the P-structure $\{-,-\}$ of degree 1.

It is natural to define a map in the classical theory as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 : \quad & T_{poly}(M) \longrightarrow D_{poly}(M), \\ & \alpha_k \mapsto \left(F_0\otimes\cdots\otimes F_k \mapsto \frac{1}{(k+1)!}\alpha^{j_0\cdots j_k}(x)\partial_{j_0}F_0\cdots\partial_{j_k}F_k\right). \end{aligned} \tag{11.112}$$

Though this map is not isomorphic, we can prove that U_1 induces the isomorphism of the d-cohomologies of two spaces $T_{poly}(M)$ and $D_{poly}(M)$ [124] [l]. If their cohomologies are isomorphic, two spaces are called the quasi-isomorphic.

11.2.5 L_∞-Algebra and L_∞-Morphism

A differential graded Lie algebra is embedded to a more general mathematical object, an L_∞-algebra. If we consider an L_∞-algebra, nonlinear correspondence among the Maurer-Cartan equations is clarified.

For a graded vector space $V = \oplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}V^k$, we consider a graded commutative tensor algebra, $C(V) = \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty}V^{\otimes n}$. A coassociative and cocommutative coproduct is defined on $C(V)$ as

$$\triangle(v_1,\cdots,v_n) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\epsilon(\sigma)\frac{1}{k!(n-k)!}(v_{\sigma(1)}\cdots v_{\sigma(k)})\otimes(v_{\sigma(k+1)}\cdots v_{\sigma(n)}). \tag{11.113}$$

---

[l] The cohomology on $T_{poly}(M)$ is trivial because of $d = 0$.

Next assume multilinear maps of degree 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{l}_k : \quad & V^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow V, \\ & (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) \mapsto \mathfrak{l}_k(v_1 \cdots v_n). \end{aligned} \tag{11.114}$$

Then we define a codifferential $Q = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k$, where

$$Q_k(v_1, \cdots, v_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}} \epsilon(\sigma) \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} \mathfrak{l}_k(v_{\sigma(1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(k)}) \otimes v_{\sigma(k+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)}, \tag{11.115}$$

Definition 11.4 *A pair (V, Q) is called an L_∞-algebra (strongly homotopy Lie algebra) if $Q^2 = 0$. [109][85].*

If we expand Q by $\mathfrak{l}_k$, we find first two terms are $\mathfrak{l}_1 = d$ and $\mathfrak{l}_2(-,-) = [-,-]$ from $Q^2 = 0$. A graded differential Lie algebra is embedded by $\mathfrak{g}^k = \mathfrak{g}^{k-1}[1] \longrightarrow V^{k-1}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_k = 0$ for $k \geq 3$. We define a L_∞-morphism of two L_∞-algebras.

Definition 11.5 *A map $U : (V_1, Q) \longrightarrow (V_2, Q)$ is called a cohomomorphism if the map preserves degree and $\triangle \circ U = (U \otimes U) \circ \triangle$.*

Definition 11.6 *A cohomomorphism U of two L_∞-algebras is called an L_∞-morphism if $UQ = QU$.*

Let us define $e^v = 1 + v + \frac{1}{2!} v \otimes v + \frac{1}{3!} v \otimes v \otimes v + \cdots$ and $\mathfrak{l}_*(e^v) = \mathfrak{l}_1(v) + \frac{1}{2!}\mathfrak{l}_2(v \otimes v) + \frac{1}{3!}\mathfrak{l}_3(v \otimes v \otimes v) + \cdots$.

Definition 11.7 *The Maurer-Cartan equation on an L_∞-algebra is, by definition, $\mathfrak{l}_*(e^v) = 0$.*

This equation is equivalent to $Q(e^v) = \mathfrak{l}_*(e^v)e^v = 0$. If a L_∞-algebra is a differential graded Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{l}_k = 0$ for $k \geq 3$, then $Q(e^v) = 0$ is the Maurer-Cartan equation $d\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha, \alpha] = 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$ is expressed by elements satisfying $Q(e^v) = 0$ in (V, Q).

Let $V_1 = T_{poly}(M)[1]$ and $V_2 = D_{poly}(M)[1]$. Then if the following theorem is proved, a deformation quantization is constructed as a special case.

Theorem 11.8 (formality theorem) *There exist an L_∞-morphism from $(T_{poly}(M)[1], Q)$ to $(D_{poly}(M)[1], Q)$ such that U_1 is the map in Equation 11.112.*

11.2.6 Correspondence to $n = 1$ AKSZ Sigma Model

We can observe that the Poisson sigma model has all the ingredients explained above. The Poisson structure is only input data in the Poisson sigma model. The Maurer-Cartan equation is the master equation.

The quantization as a physical theory introduces one parameter $\hbar$ and deform the correlation functions by $\hbar$. The Ward-Takahashi identity on the correlation functions derives the quantum Maurer-Cartan equation on the deformation.

In order to generalize L_∞ setting, the Poisson sigma model is generalized to the AKSZ sigma model corresponding to $\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]])$. From the data of $\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$, the AKSZ model is constructed by generalizing the Poisson sigma model:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_0 + \sum_{p=0}^{d-1} S_{\alpha_p} \\ &= \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \left(\boldsymbol{A}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{\phi}^i + \sum_{p=0}^{d-1} \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \alpha^{j_0 \cdots j_p}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \boldsymbol{A}_{j_0} \cdots \boldsymbol{A}_{j_p}, \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_k \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \alpha^{j_0 \cdots j_p}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_0}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_p}} \in \wedge^{p+1} TM$. $S_{\alpha_p} = \int_{T[1]\Sigma} d^2\sigma d^2\theta \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \alpha^{j_0 \cdots j_p}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \boldsymbol{A}_{j_0} \cdots \boldsymbol{A}_{j_p}$ and $\alpha^{j_0 j_1}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = f^{j_0 j_1}(\boldsymbol{\phi})$. This action has no more degree zero. The Maurer-Cartan equation on $\mathcal{MC}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ is nothing but the classical master equation $\{S, S\} = 0$.

We take the same gauge fixing fermion and the same boundary conditions as the Poisson sigma model. From the analysis of the moduli on the space of observables, we find the observables on the boundary have the following form,

$$\mathcal{O}_x(F_0, \ldots, F_m) = \int_{B_m} d^m t \, [F_0(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t_0, \theta_0)) \cdots F_m(\boldsymbol{\phi}(t_m, \theta_m))]^{(m-1)} \, \delta_x(\phi(\infty)), \tag{11.116}$$

where t_i are points on the boundary such that $1 = t_0 > t_i > \cdots > t_{m-1} > t_m = 0$. B_m is the moduli space satisfying this inequality. The map U is given by,

$$U(\alpha)(F_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes F_m)(x) = \int \mathcal{O}_x(F_0, \ldots, F_m) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_q},$$

where the integral is the path intergral including the moduli. We obtain the L_∞-morphism from V_1 to V_2, $U(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} U_n(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$ by the perturbative expansion of S_{α_p}, where $U_n : V_1^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow V_2$. L_∞ morphism property, which derives the Maurer-Cartan equation, is proved from from the WT identity,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\ell=0}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\sum_{i=0}^{m-k}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \epsilon(\sigma)(-1)^{k(i+1)}(-1)^{m}U_l(\alpha_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,\alpha_{\sigma(l)})(F_0\otimes\cdots\otimes F_{i-1} \\
&\qquad \otimes U_{n-l}(\alpha_{\sigma(l+1)},\ldots,\alpha_{\sigma(n)})(F_i\otimes\cdots\otimes F_{i+k})\otimes F_{i+k+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes F_m) \\
&= \sum_{i<j}\epsilon_{ij}U_{n-1}([\alpha_i,\alpha_j],\alpha_1,\ldots,\widehat{\alpha}_i,\ldots,\widehat{\alpha}_j,\ldots,\alpha_n)(F_0\otimes\cdots\otimes F_m),
\end{aligned} \tag{11.117}$$

where

$$(i\hbar)^{n+m-1}U_n(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)(F_0\otimes\cdots\otimes F_m) = \int \mathcal{O}_x(F_0,\ldots,F_m)e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_0}\frac{i}{\hbar}S_{\alpha_1}\cdots\frac{i}{\hbar}S_{\alpha_n}.$$

12 Comments and Future Outlook

The AKSZ construction is a clear method to construct and analyze TFTs. Though important aspects have been discussed in this lecture, we could not explained all topics of the AKSZ TFTs. We have many TFTs and many related subjects in the AKSZ construction not discussed in this lecture.

The Poisson sigma model on a general Lie algebroid is considered and analyzed in [16][136][123], called a Lie algebroid Poisson sigma model. Several versions of TFTs with a generalized geometry structure has been constructed in two, three and higher dimensions [131][132] [97][66][67] [32]. The Rozansky-Witten theory has been formulated by the AKSZ construction in three dimensions [98]. Open p-branes with open worldvolume boundaries have been analyzed [96][55]. A TFT with the Dirac structure called the Dirac sigma model is [81][83]. Three dimensional version of A model has been proposed in [117] and the relation of a doubled formalism and the AKSZ formalism is in [118]. A topological sigma model with a Nambu-Poisson structure has been constructed in [18][110], which is called the Nambu-Poisson sigma model. Poisson (and symplectic) reduction in the AKSZ language is discussed in [22][35][134] [135][137] [13].

Many other geometric structures have been realized in the AKSZ construction [133] [100] [8][70][138].

General structures of this formulation and applications to various aspects of quantum field theories are analyzed in [10][37][38][65][7][14][45]. The AKSZ construction on a discrete spacetime has been considered in [15][1]. Categorical and graded versions of bundles related

AKSZ method are considered [82]. Categorical and Chern-Weil formulation of the AKSZ construction is in [40].

References will be useful for reader to refer to more topics.

The geometric structures of AKSZ theories are not satisfactory analyzed so far. Many geometric structures have been realized by AKSZ TFTs, but there exist some geometric structures not formulated in an AKSZ TFT yet. For example, the Nambu bracket itself, which appears in a membrane theory, is not constructed as a target space structure of an AKSZ TFT, though the Nambu-Poisson structure has been realized by the AKSZ sigma model on a manifold with a boundary [18]. In this lecture note, we do not sufficiently discuss AKSZ theories on an open manifold. However they are important and may be related to higher categories.

Since gauge structures are algebroids, their structures are highly nonlinear and degenerate in general. Analysis of their structures including quantizations is difficult. Geometric structures in general dimensions including quantizations should be analyzed. There are other important problems such as globalization to groupoids [26][87] or nonperturbative effects such as instantons or monopoles.

AKSZ TFTs not only has reformulated topological invariants, but also propose new topological or differential topological invariants. Mathematical analysis may solve problems such as classification of differential topological manifolds. It will be important to analyze relations to mathematical formulation of TFTs [4], which is not so clear yet.

Quantizations of the AKSZ TFTs have been analyzed by many authors, for example, [56][17][15] [99][100][70], but it is not completely successful to analyze quantization of general AKSZ theories. Gauge fixing procedures are complicated because they need BV formalisms. The gauge fixings are not formulated by superfields. Moreover the moduli space of observables in the path integral is not clear in higher dimensions more than two dimensions and it is difficult to generalize formality.

TFTs are a closely connected subject of mathematical and physical arguments. AKSZ TFTs have rich contents and will shed light on both mathematics and physics.

Acknowledgments

This lecture is partially based on the author's lecture series in Tohoku University. He would like to thank to members in Tohoku University for hospitality and discussions. The author would like to thank to T.Asakawa, K.Koizumi, S.Sasa, M.Sato, K.Uchino and S.Watamura for valuable comments and discussions. This work is supported by Maskawa Institute, Kyoto Sangyo University.

References

[1] A. Alekseev and P. Mnev, "One-dimensional Chern-Simons theory," Commun. Math. Phys. **307** (2011) 185 [arXiv:1005.2111 [hep-th]].

[2] A. Y. Alekseev, P. Schaller and T. Strobl, "The Topological G/G WZW model in the generalized momentum representation," Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 7146 [arXiv:hep-th/9505012].

[3] M. Alexandrov, M. Kontsevich, A. Schwartz and O. Zaboronsky, "The Geometry of the master equation and topological quantum field theory," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12** (1997) 1405 [arXiv:hep-th/9502010].

[4] M. Atiyah, "Topological quantum field theories," Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **68** (1989) 175.

[5] S. Axelrod and I. M. Singer, "Chern-Simons perturbation theory," arXiv:hep-th/9110056.

[6] G. Barnich, F. Brandt and M. Henneaux, "Local BRST cohomology in the antifield formalism. 1. General theorems," Commun. Math. Phys. **174** (1995) 57 [arXiv:hep-th/9405109].

[7] G. Barnich and M. Grigoriev, "A Poincaré lemma for sigma models of AKSZ type," J. Geom. Phys. **61** (2011) 663 [arXiv:0905.0547 [math-ph]].

[8] G. Barnich and M. Grigoriev, "First order parent formulation for generic gauge field theories," JHEP **1101** (2011) 122 [arXiv:1009.0190 [hep-th]].

[9] G. Barnich and M. Henneaux, “Consistent couplings between fields with a gauge freedom and deformations of the master equation,” Phys. Lett. B **311** (1993) 123 [arXiv:hep-th/9304057].

[10] I. Batalin and R. Marnelius, “Superfield algorithms for topological field theories,” In *Olshanetsky, M. (ed.) et al.: Multiple facets of quantization and supersymmetry* 233-251 [hep-th/0110140].

[11] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, “Gauge Algebra And Quantization,” Phys. Lett. B **102** (1981) 27; “Quantization Of Gauge Theories With Linearly Dependent Generators,” Phys. Rev. D **28** (1983) 2567, [Erratum-ibid. D **30** (1984) 508].

[12] Y. Bi and Y. Sheng. “On higher-order Courant brackets” arXiv:1003.1350[math.DG]

[13] F. Bonechi, A. Cabrera and M. Zabzine, “AKSZ construction from reduction data,” arXiv:1204.2453 [hep-th].

[14] F. Bonechi, A. S. Cattaneo and P. Mnev, “The Poisson sigma model on closed surfaces,” JHEP **1201** (2012) 099 [arXiv:1110.4850 [hep-th]].

[15] F. Bonechi, P. Mnev and M. Zabzine, “Finite dimensional AKSZ-BV theories,” Lett. Math. Phys. **94** (2010) 197 [arXiv:0903.0995 [hep-th]].

[16] F. Bonechi and M. Zabzine, “Lie algebroids, Lie groupoids and TFT,” J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007) 731 [arXiv:math/0512245].

[17] F. Bonechi and M. Zabzine, “Poisson sigma model on the sphere,” Commun. Math. Phys. **285** (2009) 1033 [arXiv:0706.3164 [hep-th]].

[18] P. Bouwknegt and B. Jurco, “AKSZ construction of topological open p-brane action and Nambu brackets,” arXiv:1110.0134 [math-ph].

[19] I. Calvo, F. Falceto and D. Garcia-Alvarez, “Topological Poisson sigma models on Poisson lie groups,” JHEP **0310** (2003) 033 [arXiv:hep-th/0307178].

[20] C. Carmeli, L. Caston and R. Fioresi, “Mathematical Foundation of Supersymmetry,” with an appendix with I. Dimitrov, EMS Ser. Lect. Math., European Math. Soc., Zurich 2011.

[21] A. S. Cattaneo, "Cabled Wilson loops in BF theories," J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996) 3684-3703. [q-alg/9602015].

[22] A. S. Cattaneo, "Deformation quantization and reduction," math/0701378 [math-qa].

[23] A. S. Cattaneo, P. Cotta-Ramusino, A. Gamba, M. Martellini, "The Donaldson-Witten invariants in pure 4-D QCD with order and disorder 't Hooft - like operators," Phys. Lett. **B355** (1995) 245-254. [hep-th/9502110].

[24] A. S. Cattaneo, P. Cotta-Ramusino and C. A. Rossi, "Loop observables for BF theories in any dimension and the cohomology of knots," Lett. Math. Phys. **51** (2000) 301, math.qa/0003073;

[25] A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, "A Path integral approach to the Kontsevich quantization formula," Commun. Math. Phys. **212** (2000) 591 [arXiv:math/9902090].

[26] A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, "Poisson sigma models and symplectic groupoids," math/0003023 [math-sg].

[27] A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, "On the AKSZ formulation of the Poisson sigma model," Lett. Math. Phys. **56**, 163 (2001) [arXiv:math/0102108].

[28] A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, "Coisotropic submanifolds in Poisson geometry and branes in the Poisson sigma model," Lett. Math. Phys. **69** (2004) 157 [math/0309180 [math-qa]].

[29] A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, "Effective Batalin-Vilkovisky theories, equivariant configuration spaces and cyclic chains," in Higher Structures in Geometry and Physics, Progress in Mathematics, 2011, Vol. 287 111-137, arXiv:0802.1706 [math-ph].

[30] A. Cattaneo, P. Mnev and N. Reshetikhin, "Classical BV theories on manifolds with boundaries," arXiv:1201.0290 [math-ph].

[31] A. S. Cattaneo, P. Mnev and N. Reshetikhin, "Classical and quantum Lagrangian field theories with boundary," PoS CORFU **2011** (2011) 044 [arXiv:1207.0239 [math-ph]].

[32] A. S. Cattaneo, J. Qiu and M. Zabzine, "2D and 3D topological field theories for generalized complex geometry," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **14** (2010) 695 [arXiv:0911.0993 [hep-th]].

[33] A. S. Cattaneo and C. A. Rossi, "Higher dimensional BF theories in the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism: the BV action and generalized Wilson loops," Commun. Math. Phys. **221** (2001) 591 [arXiv:math/0010172].

[34] A. S. Cattaneo, F. Schätz, "Introduction to supergeometry," [arXiv:1011.3401 [math-ph]].

[35] A. S. Cattaneo and M. Zambon, "Graded geometry and Poisson reduction," AIP Conf. Proc. **1093** (2009) 48.

[36] T. Courant. "Dirac manifolds," Trans. A. M. S. **319** (1990) 631.

[37] L. Edgren and N. Sandstrom, "First order gauge field theories from a superfield formulation," JHEP **0209** (2002) 036 [hep-th/0205273].

[38] L. Edgren and N. Sandstrom, "Superfield algorithm for higher order gauge field theories," JHEP **0401** (2004) 006 [hep-th/0306175].

[39] F. Falceto and K. Gawedzki, "Boundary G/G theory and topological Poisson-Lie sigma model," Lett. Math. Phys. **59** (2002) 61 [arXiv:hep-th/0108206].

[40] D. Fiorenza, C. L. Rogers and U. Schreiber, "A Higher Chern-Weil derivation of AKSZ σ-models," arXiv:1108.4378 [math-ph].

[41] R. Fulp, T. Lada and J. Stasheff, "Sh-Lie algebras Induced by Gauge Transformations," math.qa/0012106.

[42] J. Gomis, J. Paris and S. Samuel, "Antibracket, antifields and gauge theory quantization," Phys. Rept. **259** (1995) 1 [arXiv:hep-th/9412228].

[43] J. Grabowski, D. Khudaverdyan, N. Poncin, "Loday algebroids and their supergeometric interpretation," arXiv:1103.5852 [math.DG].

[44] J. Grabowski, M. Rotkiewicz, "Higher vector bundles and multi-graded symplectic manifolds," J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), 1285-1305. [arXiv:math/0702772].

[45] M. Grigoriev, "Parent formulations, frame-like Lagrangians, and generalized auxiliary fields," arXiv:1204.1793 [hep-th].

[46] M. Grützmann, "H-twisted Lie algebroid," J. of Geom. and Phys. **61** (2011), 476, [arXiv:1005.5680].

[47] M. Gualtieri, "Generalized complex geometry," arXiv:math/0401221.

[48] Y. Hagiwara, "Nambu-Dirac manifolds," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35** (2002) 1263.

[49] M. Hansen and T. Strobl, "First Class Constrained Systems and Twisting of Courant Algebroids by a Closed 4-form," arXiv:0904.0711 [hep-th].

[50] M. Henneaux, "Lectures on the Antifield-BRST Formalism for Gauge Theories," Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **18A** (1990) 47.

[51] M. Henneaux, "Consistent interactions between gauge fields: The Cohomological approach," Contemp. Math. **219** (1998) 93 [arXiv:hep-th/9712226].

[52] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, "Quantization of gauge systems," *Princeton, USA: Univ. Pr. (1992) 520 p*

[53] N. Hitchin, "Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds," Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **54** (2003) 281 [arXiv:math/0209099].

[54] C. Hofman, "On the open-closed B-model," JHEP **0311** (2003) 069 [arXiv:hep-th/0204157].

[55] C. Hofman and J. S. Park, "Topological open membranes," hep-th/0209148.

[56] C. Hofman and J. S. Park, "BV quantization of topological open membranes," Commun. Math. Phys. **249** (2004) 249 [arXiv:hep-th/0209214].

[57] N. Ikeda, "Two-dimensional gravity and nonlinear gauge theory," Annals Phys. **235** (1994) 435 [arXiv:hep-th/9312059].

[58] N. Ikeda, "A deformation of three dimensional BF theory," JHEP **0011** (2000) 009, hep-th/0010096.

[59] N. Ikeda, "Deformation of BF theories, topological open membrane and a generalization of the star deformation," JHEP **0107** (2001) 037, hep-th/0105286.

[60] N. Ikeda, "Chern-Simons gauge theory coupled with BF theory," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **18** (2003) 2689 [arXiv:hep-th/0203043].

[61] N. Ikeda, "Topological field theories and geometry of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras," JHEP **0210** (2002) 076 [hep-th/0209042].

[62] N. Ikeda, "Deformation of Batalin-Vilkovisky Structures," [arXiv:math.sg/0604157].

[63] N. Ikeda, "Donaldson Invariants and Their Generalizations from AKSZ Topological Field Theories," arXiv:1104.2100 [hep-th].

[64] N. Ikeda and K. I. Izawa, "General form of dilaton gravity and nonlinear gauge theory," Prog. Theor. Phys. **90** (1993) 237 [arXiv:hep-th/9304012].

[65] N. Ikeda and K. I. Izawa, "Dimensional reduction of nonlinear gauge theories," JHEP **0409** (2004) 030 [hep-th/0407243].

[66] N. Ikeda and T. Tokunaga, "Topological Membranes with 3-Form H Flux on Generalized Geometries," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **12** (2008) 1259 [hep-th/0609098].

[67] N. Ikeda and T. Tokunaga, "An Alternative Topological Field Theory of Generalized Complex Geometry," JHEP **0709** (2007) 009 [arXiv:0704.1015 [hep-th]].

[68] N. Ikeda and K. Uchino, "QP-Structures of Degree 3 and 4D Topological Field Theory," Comm. Math. Phys. (2011), arXiv:1004.0601 [hep-th].

[69] K. I. Izawa, "On nonlinear gauge theory from a deformation theory perspective," Prog. Theor. Phys. **103** (2000) 225 [arXiv:hep-th/9910133].

[70] J. Kallen, J. Qiu and M. Zabzine, "Equivariant Rozansky-Witten classes and TFTs," arXiv:1011.2101 [hep-th].

[71] H. O. M. Khudaverdian, "Semidensities on odd symplectic supermanifolds," Commun. Math. Phys. **247** (2004) 353, [arXiv:math.DG/0012256];

[72] H. M. Khudaverdian and T. Voronov, "On odd Laplace operators," Lett. Math. Phys. **62** (2002) 127 [arXiv:math/0205202];

[73] H. M. Khudaverdian and T. Voronov, “On odd Laplace operators. II,” [arXiv:math/0212311].

[74] L. Kjeseth, “Homotopy Rinehart cohomology of homotopy Lie-Rinehart pairs,” Homology, Homotopy and Application **3** (2001) 139.

[75] C. Klimcik and T. Strobl, “WZW-Poisson manifolds,” J. Geom. Phys. **43** (2002) 341 [arXiv:math/0104189].

[76] M. Kontsevich, “Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, I,” Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003) 157 [arXiv:q-alg/9709040].

[77] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, J. Monterde, “Divergence operators and odd Poisson brackets, ” Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **52** (2002) 419, math.QA/0002209.

[78] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, “Derived brackets,” Lett. Math. Phys. **69**, 61 (2004), [arXiv:math.dg/0312524].

[79] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. “Quasi-, twisted, and all that... in Poisson geometry and Lie algebroid theory.” The Breadth of Symplectic and Poisson Geometry, Festschrift in honor of Alan Weinstein, Progress in Mathematics. 232. (2005). 363-389, math.SG/0310359.

[80] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, “Poisson and symplectic functions in Lie algebroid theory,” Higher Structures in Geometry and Physics, in honor of Murray Gerstenhaber and Jim Stasheff, eds. Alberto Cattaneo, Antony Giaquinto and Ping Xu, Progress in Mathematics 287, Birkhauser, 2011, 243-268, arXiv:0711.2043.

[81] A. Kotov, P. Schaller and T. Strobl, “Dirac sigma models,” Commun. Math. Phys. **260** (2005) 455 [arXiv:hep-th/0411112].

[82] A. Kotov and T. Strobl, “Characteristic classes associated to Q-bundles,” arXiv:0711.4106 [math.DG].

[83] A. Kotov and T. Strobl, “Generalizing Geometry - Algebroids and Sigma Models,” arXiv:1004.0632 [hep-th].

[84] T. Lada and M. Markl, “Strongly homotopy Lie algebras,” [arXiv:hep-th/9406095].

[85] T. Lada and J. Stasheff, "Introduction to SH Lie algebras for physicists," Int. J. Theor. Phys. **32** (1993) 1087, hep-th/9209099.

[86] A. M. Levin and M. A. Olshanetsky, "Hamiltonian algebroid symmetries in W-gravity and Poisson sigma-model," hep-th/0010043.

[87] D. Li-Bland, P. Severa "Integration of Exact Courant Algebroids," arXiv:1101.3996 [math.DG].

[88] Z. J. Liu, A. Weinstein and P. Xu, "Dirac Structures and Poisson Homogeneous Spaces," Commun. in Math. Phys. **192**, 121 (1998), dg-ga/9611001.

[89] J-L. Loday. "Dialgebras." Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1763. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2001), 7-66.

[90] J-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili. "Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology," Math. Ann. **296** (1993), 139-158.

[91] J. Luire. "On the Classification of Topological Field Theories." arXiv:0905.0465.

[92] K. Mackenzie, "Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids in Differential Geometry," LMS Lecture Note Series **124**, Cambridge U. Press, 1987.

[93] Y. Maeda, H. Kajiura, "String Theory and Deformation Quantization"(Japanese), Sugaku expositions **20**. (2007), 191-214.

[94] Y. Manin, "Gauge field theory and complex geometry," Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 289. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[95] M. A. Olshanetsky, "Lie algebroids as gauge symmetries in topological field theories," hep-th/0201164.

[96] J. S. Park, "Topological open p-branes," hep-th/0012141.

[97] V. Pestun, "Topological strings in generalized complex space," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **11** (2007) 399 [hep-th/0603145].

[98] J. Qiu and M. Zabzine, "On the AKSZ formulation of the Rozansky-Witten theory and beyond," JHEP **0909** (2009) 024 [arXiv:0906.3167 [hep-th]].

[99] J. Qiu and M. Zabzine, "Odd Chern-Simons Theory, Lie Algebra Cohomology Commun. Math. Phys. **300** (2010) 789-833. [arXiv:0912.1243 [hep-th]].

[100] J. Qiu and M. Zabzine, "Knot Invariants and New Weight Systems from General 3D TFTs," J. Geom. Phys. **62** (2012) 242 [arXiv:1006.1240 [hep-th]].

[101] J. Qiu and M. Zabzine, "Introduction to Graded Geometry, Batalin-Vilkovisky Formalism and their Applications," arXiv:1105.2680 [math.QA].

[102] D. Roytenberg, "Courant algebroids, derived brackets and even symplectic supermanifolds," math.DG/9910078.

[103] D. Roytenberg, "On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids," math.sg/0203110.

[104] D. Roytenberg, "AKSZ-BV formalism and Courant algebroid-induced topological field theories," Lett. Math. Phys. **79** (2007) 143 [arXiv:hep-th/0608150].

[105] D. Roytenberg, "On weak Lie 2-algebras," In XXVI Workshop on Geometrical Methods in Physics, volume 956 of AIP Conference Proceedings, Piotr Kielanowski et al (eds). American Institute of Physics, Melville, NY, 2007, arXiv:0712.3461[math.QA].

[106] D. Roytenberg and A. Weinstein "Courant algebroids and strongly homotopy Lie algebras." Lett. in Math. Phys. **46**, 81 (1998) [arXiv:math.QA/9802118]

[107] P. Schaller and T. Strobl, "Poisson structure induced (topological) field theories," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **9** (1994) 3129 [arXiv:hep-th/9405110].

[108] P. Schaller and T. Strobl, "Poisson sigma models: A Generalization of 2-d gravity Yang-Mills systems," arXiv:hep-th/9411163.

[109] M. Schlessinger, J. Stasheff, "The Lie algebra structure on tangent cohomology and deformation theory," J. Pure Appl. Algebra **89** (1993) 231.

[110] P. Schupp and B. Jurco, "Nambu Sigma Model and Branes," arXiv:1205.2595 [hep-th].

[111] A. Schwarz, “Geometry of Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization,” Commun. Math. Phys. **155** (1993) 249, hep-th/9205088. “Semiclassical approximation in Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism,” Commun. Math. Phys. **158** (1993) 373 [arXiv:hep-th/9210115].

[112] T. Schwarzweller, “The Poisson-sigma model: A non-linear gauge theory,” Contributed to 3rd International Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization, St. Constantine, Bulgaria, 14-23 Jun 2001, hep-th/0111141.

[113] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “String theory and noncommutative geometry,” JHEP **9909** (1999) 032 [hep-th/9908142].

[114] P. Severa, “Some title containing the words ”homotopy” and ”symplectic”, e.g. this one,” Travaux Math. **16** (2005) 121-137, [arXiv:math/0105080].

[115] P. Severa and A. Weinstein, “Poisson geometry with a 3-form background,” Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **144** (2001) 145 [arXiv:math/0107133].

[116] J. Stasheff, “Deformation Theory and the Batalin-Vilkovisky Master Equation,” q-alg/9702012.

[117] V. Stojevic, “Topological A-Type Models with Flux,” JHEP **0805** (2008) 023 [arXiv:0801.1160 [hep-th]].

[118] V. Stojevic, “Doubled Formalism, Complexification and Topological Sigma-Models,” arXiv:0809.4034 [hep-th].

[119] Y. Terashima, “On Poisson functions,” J. Sympl. Geom., **6**(2008) 1-7.

[120] The author would like to thank to K .Uchino for discussions.

[121] I. Vaisman, “Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds,” Progress in Math., vol. 118, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1994.

[122] V. S. Varadarajan, “Supersymmetry for mathematicians: an introduction,” Courant Lecture Notes Series, AMS, New York, 2004.

[123] A. Q. Velez, “Boundary coupling of Lie algebroid Poisson sigma models and representations up to homotopy,” arXiv:1108.6225 [math-ph].

[124] J. Vey, “Déformation du crochet de Poisson sur une variété symplectique,” Comment. Math. Helvet. **50** (1975) 421–454.

[125] T .Voronov, “Vector fields on mapping spaces and a converse to the AKSZ construction,” arXiv:1211.6319 [math-ph].

[126] A. Wade, “Nambu-Dirac Structures on Lie Algebroids,” Lett. Math. Phys. **61** (2002) 85-99 [arXiv:math.SG/0204310].

[127] E. Witten, “Topological Quantum Field Theory,” Commun. Math. Phys. **117** (1988) 353.

[128] E. Witten, “Topological Sigma Models,” Commun. Math. Phys. **118** (1988) 411.

[129] E. Witten, “Mirror manifolds and topological field theory,” In *Yau, S.T. (ed.): Mirror symmetry I* 121-160 [hep-th/9112056].

[130] M. Zambon, “L-infinity algebras and higher analogues of Dirac structures and Courant algebroids,” arXiv:1003.1004 [math.SG].

[131] R. Zucchini, “A Sigma model field theoretic realization of Hitchin’s generalized complex geometry,” JHEP **0411** (2004) 045 [hep-th/0409181].

[132] R. Zucchini, “Generalized complex geometry, generalized branes and the Hitchin sigma model,” JHEP **0503** (2005) 022 [hep-th/0501062].

[133] R. Zucchini, “A Topological sigma model of Bikaehler geometry,” JHEP **0601** (2006) 041 [hep-th/0511144].

[134] R. Zucchini, “The Hitchin Model, Poisson-quasi-Nijenhuis Geometry and Symmetry Reduction,” JHEP **0710** (2007) 075 [arXiv:0706.1289 [hep-th]].

[135] R. Zucchini, “Gauging the Poisson sigma model,” JHEP **0805** (2008) 018 [arXiv:0801.0655 [hep-th]].

[136] R. Zucchini, “The Lie algebroid Poisson sigma model,” JHEP **0812** (2008) 062 [arXiv:0810.3300 [math-ph]].

[137] R. Zucchini, “The Gauging of BV algebras,” J. Geom. Phys. **60** (2010) 1860 [arXiv:1001.0219 [hep-th]].

[138] R. Zucchini, “AKSZ models of semistrict higher gauge theory,” arXiv:1112.2819 [hep-th].